Fluctuations in Spin Dynamics Excited by Pulsed Light

Tetsuya Sato,¹ Shinichi Watanabe,² Mamoru Matsuo,^{3,4,5,6} and Takeo Kato¹

¹Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, 277-8581 Japan

²Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University,

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8522, Japan

³Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁴CAS Center for Excellence in Topological Quantum Computation,

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁵Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Japan

⁶RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama, Japan

(Dated: May 20, 2024)

We theoretically investigate nonequilibrium spin fluctuations in a ferromagnet induced by a light pulse. Using a Lindblad equation consistent with the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation, we compute the autocorrelation function of magnetization. Our analysis reveals that this function comprises both thermal and nonequilibrium components. To examine the latter in detail, we introduce a Fano factor similar to nonequilibrium current noise in electronic circuits. We demonstrate that this factor encapsulates insights into the transfer of spin units to the environment. Our findings lay the groundwork for nonequilibrium spin noise spectroscopy, offering valuable insights into spin relaxation dynamics.

Introduction.— The flow of carriers following Poissonian statistics generates current fluctuations, leading to shot noise [1]. Electronic shot noise is proportional to the electron's charge associated with the current. Therefore, the study of shot noise and the determination of the Fano factor have been conducted to understand the nature of charge quanta and interactions between quasi-particles in various correlated electron systems, such as two-dimensional electron gases with fractional charges [2-5], metal/superconductor junctions with 2echarges of Cooper pairs [6, 7], quasi-particle interactions in quantum dots [8], and superconductor-insulatorsuperconductor tunnel junctions with average charge of Andreev clusters [9, 10]. Similarly, the study of spin shot noise is expected to provide insight into the nature of the elementary unit of angular momentum quanta \hbar , and to provide information on fundamental spin transport properties [11–13]. Spin shot noise has been theoretically investigated in various magnetic layered systems, including magnetic tunnel junctions [14, 15], magnon-mediated spin-transfer systems [16, 17], lowdimensional systems [18–20], and magnonic thermalnoise systems [21, 22]. However, spin shot noise has not yet been observed experimentally. All conventional methods for detecting spin shot noise require a specific conversion mechanism from spin noise to electronic noise, and this indirect approach may mask physically meaningful noise in spin systems. Therefore, proposing a direct probe of spin shot noise is crucial.

Optical noise detection provides a direct probe of spin noise in magnetic systems without requiring additional layers for spin detection. Simple transmission and/or Faraday rotation measurements of an optical beam enable spin-noise spectroscopy, which can probe spontaneous spin fluctuations in accordance with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in paramagnets such as atomic systems [23–25], bulk semiconductors [26, 27], and semiconductor quantum dots [28, 29]. Spin-noise measurements are also applicable for detecting spontaneous magnon fluctuations in antiferromagnets in a single-crystal form [30]. nonequilibrium spin-noise spectroscopy has been proposed to study noise properties under an external electric field [31], or under optical pumping [32–35]. However, none of the work reported so far suggests the possibility of applying optical noise measurements to detect spin shot noise.

In this Letter, we propose an all-optical approach to detect spin shot noise in a simple thin ferromagnetic film structure. The key idea is to use an ultrafast pump laser pulse to impulsively generate a fluctuating magnon population far from equilibrium in the sample and to investigate the temporal evolution of the Faraday or Kerr rotation signal fluctuations of the probe laser pulse. To model this experimental situation, we theoretically calculate the magnon population dynamics using the Lindblad equation and the Fokker-Planck equation, considering the autocorrelation function of the spin component. We analyze the time derivative of the autocorrelation function, which mimics the fluctuation of the spin flow, i.e., the spin current. Here, the flow of spin does not inherently involve spatial diffusion, but represents the energy flow from the spin system to the thermal bath. Therefore, a local optical probe is sufficient to detect the spin current fluctuation. The fluctuation of the spin current can be represented as a sum of two contributions: one is the thermal contribution according to the fluctuationdissipation theorem, and the other is the contribution of the spin shot noise caused by transferring the quanta of the angular momentum, \hbar , between the spin system and the thermal bath. By adopting this approach, the quan-



FIG. 1. (a) A schematic picture for the spin dynamics in our setup. Initially, the spin is in thermal equilibrium and distributed centering on the z axis. After the pulsed light excites the spin of the ferromagnet at t = 0, the spin precession occurs accompanying the nonequilibrium fluctuation. (b) A schematic picture of the time evolution of \hat{s}_z . The red line indicates one measurement of the time evolution of \hat{s}_z . While the ensemble average with respect to a number of measurements, $\langle \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle$, (the blue line) decays toward the saturated value, S_0 , there exists nonequilibrium fluctuation represented by $\langle \Delta \hat{s}_z(t)^2 \rangle$.

titative evaluation of \hbar could be possible by analyzing the noise of the probe pulse in a statistical approach.

Setup.—We examine the dynamics of the total spin \hat{s} or the magnetization $\hat{M} = \hbar \gamma \hat{s}/V$, considering a ferromagnet under a static magnetic field. Here, \hbar represents the Dirac constant, γ (< 0) denotes the gyromagnetic ratio of an electron, and V stands for the volume of the ferromagnetic film. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \hbar \gamma \mu_0 \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{ex}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{s}},\tag{1}$$

where $\mu_0 \simeq 1.257 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{N/A^2}$ denotes the vacuum permeability, and $\boldsymbol{H}_{ex} = (0, 0, -H_0) (H_0 > 0)$ represents an external magnetic field. Initially, we assume that the averaged spin $\langle s \rangle$ in the ferromagnet points in the +z direction and is in thermal equilibrium. We consider spin dynamics induced by pulsed light irradiation at t = 0. As illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 1(a), the spin state rotates shortly after the laser pulse irradiation due to a sudden change in the direction of the effective magnetic field, induced by laser heating [36, 37]. Subsequently, the direction of the effective magnetic field promptly recovers to the z-direction, and after this recovery, spin precession occurs around the z-axis, ultimately relaxing into the original thermal equilibrium state due to Gilbert damping (see the right panel of Fig. 1(a)). In our work, we focus on the dynamics and fluctuation of the z-component of the spin, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Throughout this work, we assume that the amplitude of the spin precession is small. Consequently, the Hamiltonian for an isolated spin system can be approximated as $\mathcal{H} = \hbar \omega_0 \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \text{const.}$, where \hat{b} represents a magnon annihilation operator and $\omega_0 = -\gamma \mu_0 H_0$ (> 0).

Lindblad equation and Fokker-Planck equation.— To address the quantum nature of the spin system, we introduce the Lindblad equation as follows:

$$\dot{\rho}_s(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}, \rho_s(t)] + \Gamma \hat{b} \rho_s(t) \hat{b}^{\dagger} - \frac{\Gamma}{2} \{ \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \rho_s(t) \} + \Gamma' \hat{b}^{\dagger} \rho_s(t) \hat{b} - \frac{\Gamma'}{2} \{ \hat{b} \hat{b}^{\dagger}, \rho_s(t) \} \equiv \mathcal{L} \rho_s(t), \quad (2)$$

where ρ_s denotes the density operator of the spin system. Here, we consider two types of stochastic processes that involve energy exchange between the spin system and the environment: (a) an energy relaxation process, characterized by a transition rate Γ , and (b) an energy gain process, characterized by a transition rate Γ' .

The density operator ρ_s can be expressed using the distribution function $P(\beta, \beta^*, t)$ as

$$\rho_s(t) = \int d^2\beta \left|\beta\right\rangle \left\langle\beta\right| P(\beta, \beta^*, t),\tag{3}$$

where $|\beta\rangle$ represents a coherent state of magnon. Using the distribution function, the Lindblad equation (2) is transformed into the following Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t P(\beta, \beta^*, t) = \mathcal{G}P(\beta, \beta^*, t)$$

$$\equiv \left[\left(i\omega_0 + \frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{2} \right) \partial_\beta \beta + \text{h.c.} + \Gamma' \partial_\beta \partial_{\beta^*} \right] P(\beta, \beta^*, t).$$
(4)

Solving the Fokker-Plank equation yields the transition probability, defined as the probability of realizing the spin state β' at $t + \tau$ starting from a spin state β at t, as

$$P(\beta', \beta'^*, t + \tau | \beta, \beta^*, t) = e^{\mathcal{G}\tau} \delta^2(\beta' - \beta)$$
$$= \mathcal{N}(\tau)^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\mathcal{N}(\tau)} \left|\beta' - \beta e^{-\left(\frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{2} + i\omega_0\right)\tau}\right|^2\right), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\tau) = \pi \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'} \left(1 - e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')\tau} \right)$ serves as the normalization factor. It should be noted that, in the limit of $\tau \to +\infty$, the probability distribution appropriately describes thermal equilibrium, where the spin points to the z axis and fluctuates around it.

Correspondence to the LLG equation. — The transition rates, Γ and Γ' , can be determined through their correspondence to the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation:

$$\langle \dot{\hat{s}} \rangle = \langle \hat{s} \rangle \times \mu_0 \gamma H_{\text{ex}} - \frac{\alpha}{S_0} \langle \hat{s} \rangle \times \langle \dot{\hat{s}} \rangle,$$
 (6)

where α represents the Gilbert damping constant. For small amplitudes of spin precession, the LLG equation can be reformulated as

$$\left[(1+i\alpha)\partial_t + i\omega_0 \right] \langle \hat{b} \rangle = 0. \tag{7}$$

By comparing the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (4) with $\alpha \ll 1$, we deduce the condition $\Gamma - \Gamma' = 2\alpha\omega_0$. Furthermore, Γ and Γ' must satisfy the detailed balance condition, $\Gamma = e^{\hbar\omega_0/k_{\rm B}T}\Gamma'$, where k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant. By combining these equations, we derive $\Gamma = 2\alpha\omega_0[n_B(\hbar\omega_0) + 1]$ and $\Gamma' = 2\alpha\omega_0n_B(\hbar\omega_0)$.

Autocorrelation functions. – We assume that the spin state is initially prepared as

$$P(\beta, \beta^*, t = 0^+) = \frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{\pi \Gamma'} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{\Gamma'} \left|\beta - \beta_0\right|^2\right),\tag{8}$$

where β_0 denotes the amplitude of the spin excitation from the initial state. The z-component of the spin is correlated with the population of the harmonic oscillator as

$$\langle \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle = S_0 - n_{\rm B}(T) - \langle \hat{n}(t) \rangle_{\rm ne},$$
 (9)

$$\langle \hat{n}(t) \rangle_{\rm ne} = |\beta_0|^2 e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t},$$
(10)

where $\hat{n} = \hat{b}^{\dagger}\hat{b}$ and $n_{\rm B}(T) = (e^{\hbar\omega_0/k_{\rm B}T} - 1)^{-1}$ represents the Bose distribution function. It should be noted that, in the limit of $t \to \infty$, $\langle \hat{n}(t) \rangle_{\rm ne}$ approaches zero, leaving only the thermal equilibrium part.

The autocorrelation function is defined for $0 < t \le t'$ as

$$C(t',t) \equiv \hbar^2 \langle \Delta \hat{s}_z(t') \Delta \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle$$

$$= \hbar^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} e^{\mathcal{G}|t'-t|} \left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \rho_s(t) \right] \right\} - \hbar^2 \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}(t') \rangle \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}(t) \rangle,$$
(11)

whereas C(t',t) = C(t,t') for 0 < t' < t. Here, $\Delta \hat{s}_z(t) \equiv \hat{s}_z(t) - \langle \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle$ represents the deviation from the average. The autocorrelation can be rewritten using the distribution function as

$$C(t',t) = \hbar^2 \int d^2 \beta' d^2 \beta |\beta'|^2 P(\beta',\beta'^*,t'|\beta,\beta^*,t) \times (\beta^* - \partial_\beta) \beta P(\beta,\beta^*,t) - \hbar^2 \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}(t') \rangle \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}(t) \rangle.$$
(12)

After a lengthy but straightforward calculation, the correlation function takes the form

$$C(t',t) = C_{\rm th}(t',t) + C_{\rm ne}(t',t),$$
(13)

$$C_{\rm th}(t',t) = \frac{\hbar^2 \Gamma \Gamma'}{(\Gamma - \Gamma')^2} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')|t' - t|},\tag{14}$$

$$C_{\rm ne}(t',t) = \hbar^2 \frac{\Gamma + \Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'} |\beta_0|^2 e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t_>} \theta(t)\theta(t'), \quad (15)$$

where $\theta(t)$ denotes a step function and $t_{>} = \max(t', t)$. Here, $C_{\rm th}(t', t)$ and $C_{\rm ne}(t', t)$ represent the thermal and



FIG. 2. (a) A schematic illustration of the stochastic dynamics of \dot{s}_z . (b) The Fano factor \mathcal{F} is plotted as a function of temperature. At low temperatures, \mathcal{F}/\hbar approaches unity.

nonequilibrium parts of the correlation function, respectively.

We observe that only $C_{\rm th}(t',t)$ remains finite in the limit as $|\beta_0| \to 0$. This implies that our result encompasses the thermal fluctuation of the spin in the ferromagnet in the absence of external light. Particularly, the equal-time correlation function $C_0 \equiv C_{\rm th}(t,t)$ is linked to the magnetic susceptibility $\chi = d \langle \hat{M}_z \rangle_{\rm th} / d(-H_0)$ as

$$C_0 = \frac{k_B T V}{\mu_0 \gamma^2} \chi. \tag{16}$$

The fluctuation-dissipation relation suggests that the equal-time correlation function in equilibrium contains the same information as the magnetic susceptibility. It is worth noting that this relation can be employed to determine the effective temperature of the environment coupled with the spin system.

Physical interpretation.– To clarify the physical origin of the nonequilibrium spin fluctuation, we introduce the autocorrelation function of \dot{s}_z defined as

$$D(t',t) \equiv \hbar^2 \langle \Delta \hat{s}_z(t') \Delta \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle, \qquad (17)$$

where $\Delta \dot{s}_z = \dot{s}_z - \langle \dot{s}_z \rangle$. This correlation function can be regarded as the fluctuation of the spin flow from the spin system to the thermal bath. We emphasize that D(t', t)can be obtained experimentally by fitting the measured autocorrelation function C(t', t) and its numerical differentiation, that is, $D(t', t) = \partial_t \partial_{t'} C(t', t)$. The correlation function D(t', t) is composed of the delta-function part and the other time-dependent part [38] as

$$D(t',t) = D^{0}(t)\delta(t'-t) + D^{c}(t',t).$$
(18)

Note that the delta-function part corresponds to the cusp of C(t', t) at t = t'.

The equal-time part $D^0(t)$ is calculated as

$$D^{0}(t) = D^{0}_{th} + D^{0}_{ne}(t), \qquad (19)$$

$$D_{\rm th}^0 = \frac{2\hbar^2 \Gamma \Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'},\tag{20}$$

$$D_{\rm ne}^{0}(t) = \hbar^{2} (\Gamma + \Gamma') |\beta_{0}|^{2} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t}.$$
 (21)

It is worth noting that $D_{\rm th}^0$ and $D_{\rm ne}^0(t)$ originate from $C_{\rm th}(t',t)$ and $C_{\rm ne}(t',t)$, respectively. To understand the information encapsulated in the nonequilibrium fluctuation, let us consider the case where the nonequilibrium part is dominant $(D^0(t) \simeq D_{\rm ne}^0(t))$. This condition is met for a certain duration after applying a sufficiently strong pulse to the system, i.e., when $n_{\rm B}(T) \ll |\beta_0|^2 e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t}$ [39]. We then define the Fano factor as the ratio between the spin flow and its fluctuation, denoted by $\mathcal{F} = D_{\rm ne}^0(t)/\langle \dot{s}_z(t) \rangle$. Using Eq. (21), this factor is calculated as

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\Gamma + \Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'} \hbar = \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2k_BT}\right) \hbar.$$
(22)

The result (22) provides crucial insights into the angular momentum transfer associated with a single relaxation process in a ferromagnet, particularly under conditions of low temperature. To illustrate this, we demonstrate how identical conclusions can be drawn from a straightforward analysis based on the Poisson process. In Fig. 2(a), we schematically depict the stochastic dynamics of \hat{s}_z in the range of $[t, t + \tau]$, where τ is chosen small enough not to alter the amplitude of the spin precession, $|\langle b(t) \rangle|$. Each spin transfer event changes \hat{s}_z by $\pm\hbar$, thus the dynamics of \hat{s}_z can be described by a series of delta functions, with weights of \hbar or $-\hbar$. If these transfer events are stochastically independent, the probability of observing n events of \hbar spin transfer and m events of $-\hbar$ spin transfer during the time interval $[t, t + \tau]$ is expressed as $P_n P_m$. Here, P_n and P_m follow the Poisson distribution with averages $\langle n \rangle = \lambda \Gamma \tau$ and $\langle m \rangle = \lambda \Gamma' \tau$, respectively, where λ depends on the amplitude of the spin precession. Using properties of the Poisson distribution, we calculate the average and variance of $\dot{\hat{s}}_z$ as $\langle \dot{\hat{s}}_z \rangle = \hbar (\langle n \rangle - \langle m \rangle) / \tau = \lambda \hbar (\Gamma - \Gamma')$ and $\langle (\Delta \dot{\hat{s}}_z)^2 \rangle = \hbar^2 [\langle (\Delta n)^2 \rangle + \langle (\Delta m)^2 \rangle] / \tau = \lambda \hbar^2 (\Gamma + \Gamma').$ This calculation leads to the result (22), affirming the efficacy of the current intuitive discussion.

We show the temperature dependence of the Fano factor in Fig. 2(b) for $\mu_0 H_0 = 3 \text{ T}$ and $\gamma = -1.97 \times 10^{11} \text{ rad/(s} \cdot \text{T})$ ($\omega_0/2\pi = 94 \text{ GHz}$) [40]. This dependence can be elucidated as follows. At low temperatures ($k_BT \ll \hbar\omega_0$), only the energy relaxation process predominates ($\Gamma \gg \Gamma'$), resulting in the Fano factor becoming \hbar . Through an intuitive discussion grounded in the Poisson process, this outcome signifies the transfer of angular momentum from the spin system to the bath in units of \hbar . It is worth noting that a similar rationale has been applied in determining the unit of charge



FIG. 3. The correlation function of $\Delta \hat{s}_z$ is shown as functions of t' and t. (a) the total fluctuation $C(t',t)/C_0$, (b) the thermal part $C_{\rm th}(t',t)/C_0$. Here $C_0 \approx 4.4 \times 10^3 \hbar^2$ is the equal-time thermal fluctuation.

in electronic transport, derived from nonequilibrium current noise (shot noise). As the temperature increases, the energy gain process also becomes significant. Consequently, the presence of two distinct transition processes diminishes the average spin flow, although it contributes additively to its fluctuation. Consequently, the Fano factor begins to increase with increasing temperature.

Experimental protocol. – Finally, we outline a feasible experimental protocol to observe the Fano factor. We propose using a thin film of ferromagnetic permalloy as the sample. Ultrafast dynamics of the z-component of the sample's magnetization, $M_z(t)$, is measured following laser pulse irradiation, employing time-resolved magnetooptical Kerr effect measurements [36, 37]. We can deduce the ensemble average of the spin flow, $\langle \hat{s}_z(t) \rangle$, from the time derivative of the average across trials of the magnetization dynamics measurements, i.e., $d\langle M_z(t)\rangle/dt$. Then, the correlation function C(t',t) is obtained by calculating the covariance of M_z at different times, that is, $\langle M_z(t)M_z(t')\rangle$. As an illustrative example, we show the autocorrelation function for a $1 \,\mu m \times 1 \,\mu m \times 5 \,nm$ ferromagnetic film in Fig. 3(a). The parameters are as follows: $\mu_0 H_0 = 3 \text{ T}, \gamma = -1.97 \times 10^{11} \text{ rad/(s \cdot T)}, T = 300 \text{ K}, \beta_0 = (S_0/5)^{1/2}, \alpha = 7.8 \times 10^{-3}$, together with saturation magnetization of $\mu_0 M_0 = 0.905 \text{ T}$ [40]. We also show the thermal part $C_{\rm th}(t',t)$ separately in Fig. 3(b). As indicated by the comparison between Fig. 3(a) and (b), the nonequilibrium part $C_{\rm ne}(t',t)$ always dominates the thermal part $C_{\rm th}(t',t)$ in the time range shown here. From a cusp in C(t', t) along the line t' = t, we can obtain the delta function part of the correlation function, $D_{ne}^0(t)$ (see Eq. (18)), which can be used to obtain the Fano factor, combining the time derivative of the mean magnetization value. Furthermore, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (b), we can quantitatively determine the elementary unit of angular momentum, \hbar , from the Fano factor. The conditions required for this experiment—low temperature (< 1K) and high magnetic field (~ 3 T)—are experimentally feasible.

Summary. – We investigated the nonequilibrium fluctuation arising from the ferromagnetic magnetization under pulse irradiation. We calculated the Fano factor, which is defined as the ratio between the nonequilibrium spin current flowing out of the spin system and its nonequilibrium fluctuation and observed that the Fano factor measured at low temperature offers insight into the unit of angular momentum transferred per spin relaxation process in a bulk ferromagnet. Our proposal sets the stage for nonequilibrium spin-noise spectroscopy, offering an advanced technique to access information that is inaccessible by other experimental means.

We thank JSPS KAKENHI for Grants (No. 21H01800, No. 21H04565, No. 23KJ0702, No. 23H01839, and No. 24K06951). M.M. is partially supported by the Priority Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDB28000000.

- W. Schottky, Über spontane stromschwankungen in verschiedenen elektrizitätsleitern, Annalen der Physik 362, 541 (1918).
- [2] L. Saminadayar, D. C. Glattli, Y. Jin, and B. Etienne, Observation of the e/3 fractionally charged laughlin quasiparticle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2526 (1997).
- [3] R. de Picciotto, M. Reznikov, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, G. Bunin, and D. Mahalu, Direct observation of a fractional charge, Nature 389, 162 (1997).
- [4] M. Reznikov, R. de Picciotto, T. G. Griffiths, M. Heiblum, and V. Umansky, Observation of quasiparticles with one-fifth of an electron's charge, Nature 399, 238 (1999).
- [5] M. Dolev, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, A. Stern, and D. Mahalu, Observation of a quarter of an electron charge at the $\nu = 5/2$ quantum hall state, Nature **452**, 829 (2008).
- [6] X. Jehl, M. Sanquer, R. Calemczuk, and D. Mailly, Detection of doubled shot noise in short normal-metal/ superconductor junctions, Nature 405, 50 (2000).
- [7] A. A. Kozhevnikov, R. J. Schoelkopf, and D. E. Prober, Observation of photon-assisted noise in a diffusive normal metal-superconductor junction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3398 (2000).
- [8] E. Sela, Y. Oreg, F. von Oppen, and J. Koch, Fractional shot noise in the kondo regime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 086601 (2006).
- [9] P. Dieleman, H. G. Bukkems, T. M. Klapwijk, M. Schicke, and K. H. Gundlach, Observation of andreev reflection enhanced shot noise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3486 (1997).
- [10] X. Jehl, P. Payet-Burin, C. Baraduc, R. Calemczuk, and M. Sanquer, Andreev reflection enhanced shot noise in mesoscopic sns junctions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1660 (1999).
- [11] A. L. Chudnovskiy, J. Swiebodzinski, and A. Kamenev, Spin-torque shot noise in magnetic tunnel junctions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 066601 (2008).
- [12] J. Swiebodzinski, A. Chudnovskiy, T. Dunn, and A. Kamenev, Spin torque dynamics with noise in magnetic nanosystems, Phys. Rev. B 82, 144404 (2010).
- [13] J. Swiebodzinski, A note on the fano factor of spin-torque

shot noise, Phys. Scr. **T151**, 014024 (2012).

- [14] J. Foros, A. Brataas, Y. Tserkovnyak, and G. E. W. Bauer, Magnetization noise in magnetoelectronic nanostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 016601 (2005).
- [15] J. Swiebodzinski, A. Chudnovskiy, T. Dunn, and A. Kamenev, Spin torque dynamics with noise in magnetic nanosystems, Phys. Rev. B 82, 144404 (2010).
- [16] A. Kamra and W. Belzig, Super-poissonian shot noise of squeezed-magnon mediated spin transport, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 146601 (2016).
- [17] A. Kamra and W. Belzig, Magnon-mediated spin current noise in ferromagnet — nonmagnetic conductor hybrids, Phys. Rev. B 94, 014419 (2016).
- [18] J. Aftergood and S. Takei, Noise in tunneling spin current across coupled quantum spin chains, Phys. Rev. B 97, 014427 (2018).
- [19] D. G. Joshi, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Takei, Detecting end states of topological quantum paramagnets via spin hall noise spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 98, 064401 (2018).
- [20] J. Aftergood, M. Trif, and S. Takei, Detecting spin current noise in quantum magnets with photons, Phys. Rev. B 99, 174422 (2019).
- [21] M. Matsuo, Y. Ohnuma, T. Kato, and S. Maekawa, Spin current noise of the spin seebeck effect and spin pumping, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 037201 (2018).
- [22] K. Nakata, Y. Ohnuma, and M. Matsuo, Magnonic noise and wiedemann-franz law, Phys. Rev. B 98, 094430 (2018).
- [23] E. B. Aleksandrov and V. S. Zapasskii, Magnetic resonance in the Faraday-rotation noise spectrum, Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 54, 64 (1981).
- [24] T. Mitsui, Spontaneous noise spectroscopy of an atomic magnetic resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5292 (2000).
- [25] S. A. Crooker, D. G. Rickel, A. V. Balatsky, and D. L. Smith, Spectroscopy of spontaneous spin noise as a probe of spin dynamics and magnetic resonance, Nature 431, 49 (2004).
- [26] M. Oestreich, M. Römer, R. J. Haug, and D. Hägele, Spin noise spectroscopy in gaas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 216603 (2005).
- [27] F. Berski, H. Kuhn, J. G. Lonnemann, J. Hübner, and M. Oestreich, Ultrahigh bandwidth spin noise spectroscopy: Detection of large g-factor fluctuations in highly-n-doped gaas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 186602 (2013).
- [28] S. A. Crooker, J. Brandt, C. Sandfort, A. Greilich, D. R. Yakovlev, D. Reuter, A. D. Wieck, and M. Bayer, Spin noise of electrons and holes in self-assembled quantum dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 036601 (2010).
- [29] R. Dahbashi, J. Hübner, F. Berski, J. Wiegand, X. Marie, K. Pierz, H. W. Schumacher, and M. Oestreich, Measurement of heavy-hole spin dephasing in (inga)as quantum dots, Appl. Phys. Lett. **100**, 031906 (2012).
- [30] M. A. Weiss, A. Herbst, J. Schlegel, T. Dannegger, M. Evers, A. Donges, M. Nakajima, A. Leitenstorfer, S. T. B. Goennenwein, U. Nowak, and T. Kurihara, Discovery of ultrafast spontaneous spin switching in an antiferromagnet by femtosecond noise correlation spectroscopy, Nat. Commun. 14, 7651 (2023).
- [31] F. Li, Y. V. Pershin, V. A. Slipko, and N. A. Sinitsyn, Nonequilibrium spin noise spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 067201 (2013).
- [32] D. S. Smirnov, B. Reznychenko, A. Auffèves, and

 $\mathbf{6}$

L. Lanco, Measurement back action and spin noise spectroscopy in a charged cavity qed device in the strong coupling regime, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 165308 (2017).

- [33] M. Swar, D. Roy, D. D, S. Chaudhuri, S. Roy, and H. Ramachandran, Measurements of spin properties of atomic systems in and out of equilibrium via noise spectroscopy, Optics Express 26, 32168 (2018).
- [34] M. M. Islam and R. Sensarma, Nonequilibrium scalar field dynamics starting from fock states: Absence of thermalization in one-dimensional phonons coupled to fermions, Phys. Rev. B 106, 024306 (2022).
- [35] J. Delpy, S. Liu, P. Neveu, E. Wu, F. Bretenaker, and F. Goldfarb, Spin-noise spectroscopy of optical light shifts, Phys. Rev. A 107, L011701 (2023).
- [36] M. van Kampen, C. Jozsa, J. T. Kohlhepp, P. LeClair, L. Lagae, W. J. M. de Jonge, and B. Koopmans, Alloptical probe of coherent spin waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 227201 (2002).
- [37] H. Shibata, M. Okano, and S. Watanabe, Ultrafast control of coherent spin precession in ferromagnetic thin films via thermal spin excitation processes induced by two-pulse laser excitation, Phys. Rev. B 97, 014438

(2018).

- [38] The nonlocal component $D^{c}(t',t)$ of the correlation function signifies the breakdown of the assumption of independent angular momentum transfer, that is, the deviation from the Poisson process. Precisely, it emerges from the quantum dynamics of the postselected quantum state following a quantum measurement (quantum jump) accompanied by spin relaxation. Further elaboration on the physical properties of this nonlocal component will be provided elsewhere (also refer to the Supplemental Material).
- [39] We consider that the amplitude of the excitation induced by the laser pulse irradiation is much larger than that of the thermal fluctuation. This is a usual condition for which the ultra-fast time-resolved spin precession is observed.
- [40] Y. Yin, F. Pan, M. Ahlberg, M. Ranjbar, P. Dürrenfeld, A. Houshang, M. Haidar, L. Bergqvist, Y. Zhai, R. K. Dumas, A. Delin, and J. Åkerman, Tunable permalloybased films for magnonic devices, Phys. Rev. B 92, 024427 (2015).

Supplementary Information: Fluctuations in spin dynamics excited by pulsed light

Tetsuya Sato,¹ Shinichi Watanabe,² Mamoru Matsuo,^{3,4,5,6} and Takeo Kato¹

¹Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, 277-8581 Japan

²Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University,

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8522, Japan

³Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

 $^4\,C\!AS$ Center for Excellence in Topological Quantum Computation,

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁵Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Japan

⁶RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako, Saitama, Japan

(Dated: May 20, 2024)

DERIVATION OF $P(\beta, \beta^*, t = 0^+)$

In the main text, the initial distribution function, $P(\beta, \beta^*, t = 0^+)$, is assumed to be the form of Eq. (8). There are a few ways to prepare this initial spin state. As a simple example, let us consider the case that the external pulse light changes the spin state of the ferromagnet through the Zeeman field, whose Hamiltonian is given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{pulse}} = -i\hbar(\hat{b} - \hat{b}^{\dagger})F_0\delta(t),\tag{1}$$

where a real constant F_0 indicates the magnitude of the pulse. The density operator in the pulse irradiation evolves as

$$\frac{d\rho_s(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_{\text{pulse}}^0, \rho_s(t)].$$
⁽²⁾

Using the expression

$$\rho_s(t) = \int d^2\beta \left|\beta\right\rangle \left\langle\beta\right| P(\beta, \beta^*, t),\tag{3}$$

we obtain

$$\partial_t P(\beta, \beta^*, t) = -F_0 \delta(t) (\partial_\beta + \partial_{\beta^*}) P(\beta, \beta^*, t).$$
(4)

We assume that the distribution function just before the pulse irradiation is given by the thermal equilibrium distribution, i.e.,

$$P_{\rm eq} = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{\Gamma'} |\beta|^2\right),\tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{N} = \pi \Gamma' / (\Gamma - \Gamma')$. Then, the distribution function just after the pulse irradiation, $P(\beta, \beta^*, t = 0^+)$, is obtained as

$$P(\beta, \beta^{*}, t = 0^{+}) = \exp\left(-\int_{0^{-}}^{0^{+}} dt F_{0}\delta(t)(\partial_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta^{*}})\right) P_{eq}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \sum_{n,m,l} \frac{(-F_{0})^{n}}{n!} \frac{(-F_{0})^{m}}{m!} \frac{\left(-\frac{\Gamma-\Gamma'}{\Gamma'}\right)^{l}}{l!} \partial_{\beta}^{n} \partial_{\beta^{*}}^{m} \beta^{l} \beta^{*^{l}}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \sum_{n,m,l} {}_{l} C_{nl} C_{m} (-F_{0})^{n} \beta^{l-n} (-F_{0})^{m} \beta^{*^{l-m}} \frac{\left(-\frac{\Gamma-\Gamma'}{\Gamma'}\right)^{l}}{l!}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma-\Gamma'}{\Gamma'} |\beta - F_{0}|^{2}\right).$$
(6)

Thus, we can derive Eq. (8) in the main text.

CALCULATION OF D(t', t)

As seen in Eq. (18) in the main text, the correlation function of D(t', t) is given by a sum of the delta-function part $D^{0}(t)\delta(t-t')$ and the nonlocal part $D^{c}(t', t)$. The nonlocal component $D^{c}(t', t)$ can be expressed for $t \neq t'$ as

$$D^{c}(t',t) = \partial_{t}\partial_{t'}C(t',t) = -\hbar^{2}\Gamma\Gamma' e^{-(\Gamma-\Gamma')|t'-t|}.$$
(7)

On the other hand, the equal-time component $D^0(t)\delta(t-t')$ is produced by a cusp at t' = t in C(t,t'). Since the integral of D(t',t) near t' = t is calculated as

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t+\epsilon} dt' D(t',t) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t+\epsilon} dt' \partial_{t'} \partial_t C(t',t)$$
$$= \partial_t C(t',t)|_{t'=t+0} - \partial_t C(t',t)|_{t'=t-0}$$
$$= \frac{2\hbar^2 \Gamma \Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'} + \hbar^2 (\Gamma + \Gamma') |\beta_0|^2 e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t}, \tag{8}$$

we obtain

$$D_0(t) = \hbar^2 \left[\frac{2\Gamma\Gamma'}{\Gamma - \Gamma'} + (\Gamma + \Gamma') |\beta_0|^2 e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')t} \right].$$
(9)

STOCHASTIC REPRESENTATION

In the main text, the fluctuation of \hat{s}_z , i.e., D(t', t) is calculated by differentiating the correlation function for \hat{s}_z , i.e., C(t', t) with respect to time. In this appendix, we derive the same result for D(t', t) using the formulation for the stochastic process [1–3] to obtain a physical picture for each term in D(t', t).

We consider the Poissonian process between time t and time t + dt. In this minute time range, \hat{s}_z takes only three values, 0 and ± 1 . We define the random variables dN_+ (dN_-) , which takes 1 when the energy relaxation (the energy gain) occurs, and which takes zero in other cases. By defining $\hat{L}_+ = \sqrt{\Gamma}\hat{b}$ and $\hat{L}_- = \sqrt{\Gamma'}\hat{b}^{\dagger}$, these random variables satisfies the following relations:

$$dN_l(t)^2 = dN_l(t), (10)$$

$$dN_{+}(t)dN_{-}(t) = 0, (11)$$

$$\langle dN_l(t) \rangle = dt \langle \hat{L}_l^{\dagger} \hat{L}_l(t) \rangle,$$
 (12)

where l takes \pm and Eq. (11) indicates that dN_+ process and dN_- process do not occur at the same time.

Using this stochastic representation, we can redefine \dot{s}_z as

$$\dot{\hat{s}}_{z} = \frac{dN_{+}}{dt} - \frac{dN_{-}}{dt} = \sum_{l=\pm} l \frac{dN_{l}}{dt}.$$
(13)

Using this notation, D(t', t) can be rewritten as

$$D(t',t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{dt^2} \sum_{l,l'=\pm} l' l \Big\{ \langle dN_{l'}(t') dN_l(t) \rangle - \langle dN_{l'}(t') \rangle \langle dN_l(t) \rangle \Big\}.$$
(14)

The delta-function part in D(t', t) is calculated using Eq. (10) and focusing on the infinitesimal region near t' = t as

$$D(t',t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{dt^2} \left\{ \langle dN_+(t) \rangle + \langle dN_-(t) \rangle - \sum_l \langle dN_l(t) \rangle^2 \right\}$$
$$\simeq \hbar^2 \frac{\langle \hat{L}_+^{\dagger} \hat{L}_+(t) \rangle + \langle \hat{L}_-^{\dagger} \hat{L}_-(t) \rangle}{dt}$$
$$\simeq \delta(t'-t) \hbar^2 \left[\Gamma \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}(t) \rangle + \Gamma' \langle \hat{b} \hat{b}^{\dagger}(t) \rangle \right], \tag{15}$$

which reproduces $D^0(t)$ in Eqs. (19)-(21) in the main text. This indicates that the equal-time part of D(t', t) is well understood by the Poisson process as discussed in the main text.

Let us next consider the nonlocal part $D^{c}(t',t)$. For t' > t, $D^{c}(t',t)$ is calculated as follows. If $dN_{l} = 1$ is observed at time t, the quantum state of the system jumps to a post-measurement state. This quantum jump is described by a change of the density operator as

$$\rho_s^l(t) = \frac{\hat{L}_l \rho_s(t) \hat{L}_l^{\dagger}}{\langle \hat{L}_l^{\dagger} \hat{L}_l \rangle}.$$
(16)

The term $\langle dN_{l'}(t')dN_l(t)\rangle$ in Eq. (14) means the probability getting $dN_{l'} = 1$ at time t' in the condition of the observation of $dN_l = 1$ at time t, which is described by

$$\langle dN_{l'}(t')dN_l(t)\rangle = \Pr\left[dN_{l'}(t') = 1|dN_l(t) = 1\right] \times \langle dN_l(t)\rangle,\tag{17}$$

where $\langle dN_l(t) \rangle$ is the probability getting $dN_l = 1$ at time t and the conditional probability $\Pr[dN_{l'}(t') = 1 | dN_l(t) = 1]$ is a probability getting $dN_{l'} = 1$ at t' in the condition of $dN_l = 1$ at t. Since the density operator after getting $dN_l = 1$ at t is given by Eq. (16), this conditional probability is expressed as

$$\Pr\left[dN_{l'}(t') = 1 | dN_l(t) = 1\right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{L}_{l'}^{\dagger} \hat{L}_{l'} e^{\mathcal{G}(t'-t)} \rho_s^l(t)\right\},\tag{18}$$

where \mathcal{G} is the time evolution operator defined in Eq. (4) in the main text. Thus, the correlation function D(t', t) given in Eq. (14) is calculated for t' > t as

$$D(t', t) = b^{2} \sum_{i,i,i'} t' \Gamma Y \left\{ \hat{L}_{i}^{1} \hat{L}_{i'} t' (\Gamma^{1}_{i}) \hat{L}_{i}^{1} \hat{L}_{i'} t' (\hat{L}_{i}^{1} \hat{L}_{i'} (t')) (\hat{L}_{i}^{1} \hat{L}_{i}(t)) (f_{i}) (f_{i}) \hat{h}_{i} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{h}_{i}) (f_{i}) \hat{h}_{i} \right\}$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t) \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ (\Gamma b^{1} \hat{b} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{b} \hat{h}_{i}) (f_{i}) (f_{i}) \hat{h}_{i} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{h}_{i}^{1} (f_{i}) \right\}$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t) \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ (\Gamma b^{1} \hat{b} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{b} \hat{h}_{i}) (f_{i}) - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{b} \hat{h}_{i}^{1} (f_{i}) \right\}$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t) \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ (\Gamma b^{1} \hat{b} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{b} \hat{h}_{i}) - \Gamma^{1}_{i} \hat{b} \hat{h}_{i}^{1} (f_{i}) \right\} \right] P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t)$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} d^{2}_{i} \beta_{i} f' (f_{i}) - \Gamma^{1}_{i} (f_{i}) - \beta_{i} \beta_{i}^{*} - \Gamma^{1}_{i} + 1 + \partial_{\beta_{i}} \partial_{\beta_{i}^{*}} \right\} \right] P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t)$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2} \beta_{i} d^{2} \beta_{i} d^{2} \beta_{i} (t' - t')^{1} \exp\left(- \frac{\pi}{N(t')} \right| \beta_{i} - \beta_{i} - f^{(1-t')} f^{1}_{i} + 1 + \partial_{\beta_{i}} \partial_{\beta_{i}^{*}} \right\} \right] P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t, \theta, h', 0^{*}) P(\beta_{i}, \beta^{*}, 0^{*})$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ \int d^{2} \beta_{i} d^{2} \beta_{i} d^{2} \beta_{i} (t' - \Gamma') |\beta_{i}|^{2} - \Gamma' (-\partial_{\beta_{i}} \beta_{i} + 1 + \partial_{\beta_{i}} \partial_{\beta_{i}^{*}}) \right\} P(\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{*}, t, \theta, h', 0^{*}) P(\beta_{i}, \beta^{*}, 0^{*})$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ (\Gamma - \Gamma') |\beta_{i}|^{2} - \Gamma' (-\partial_{\beta_{i}} \beta_{i} - \partial_{\beta_{i}} \beta_{i}^{*} + 1 + \partial_{\beta_{i}} \partial_{\beta_{i}^{*}} \right\} \right\} \frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{\pi \Gamma'} \exp\left(- \frac{\Gamma - \Gamma'}{\Gamma'} |\beta_{i} - \beta_{0}|^{2} \right)$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)}$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)}$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)}$$

$$= h^{2} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

$$= h^{2} \left\{ (\Gamma - \Gamma')^{2} |\beta_{0}|^{4} e^{-(\Gamma - \Gamma')(\ell' + 1)} \right$$

By a lengthy calculation, we can derive the same result for $D^{c}(t',t)$ in the main text. In short, $D^{c}(t',t)$ is related to dynamics of the spin state after the quantum jump accompanied by relaxation process. We note that this non-local part of $D^{c}(t',t)$ appears even in thermal equilibrium because of the nature of Bose statistics in the spin system.

- [1] H.-P. Breuer, F. Petruccione, et al., The theory of open quantum systems (Oxford University Press on Demand, 2002).
- [2] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller, Quantum noise: a handbook of Markovian and non-Markovian quantum stochastic methods with applications to quantum optics (Springer Science & Business Media, 2004).
- [3] H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, Quantum measurement and control (Cambridge university press, 2009).