

ON BACKWARD PROBLEM FOR A TIME-FRACTIONAL FOURTH ORDER PARABOLIC EQUATION

SUBHANKAR MONDAL

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the inverse problem of retrieving the initial value of a time-fractional fourth order parabolic equation from source and final time observation. The considered problem is an *ill-posed problem*. We obtain regularized approximations for the sought initial value by employing the quasi-boundary value method, its modified version and by Fourier truncation method (FTM). We provide both the apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies and derive the error estimates for all these methods under some *source conditions* involving some Sobolev smoothness. As an important implication of the obtained rates, we observe that for both the apriori and aposteriori cases, the rates obtained by all these three methods are same for some source sets. Moreover, we observe that in both the apriori and aposteriori cases, the FTM is free from the so-called *saturation effect*, whereas both the quasi-boundary value method and its generalizations possesses the saturation effect for both the cases. Further, we observe that the rates obtained by the FTM is always order optimal for all the considered source sets.

Keywords: backward problem, time-fractional parabolic equation, regularization, quasi boundary value method, truncation method, convergence rate

MSC 2020: 47A52, 35R25, 35R11, 65J20

1. INTRODUCTION

Fourth order parabolic PDE's have remained an interesting topic of research for many decades. It is known that fourth order parabolic PDE's are helpful in modelling various natural phenomenon and has found its application in many engineering problems such as, the free vibrations in beams or shafts [6], the growth of epitaxial thin film [12], thermal grooving by surface mechanism [18], and is also used for noise removal and edge preservation in image processing [25], to name a few. Recently research activities on inverse problems related to fourth order parabolic PDE has grown up substantially. Let us mention briefly a few recent works related to some inverse problems associated with fourth order parabolic equations.

For $\tau > 0$, and some appropriate functions h, v_0 , in [2] the authors considered the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} v_t(x, t) + a(t)v_{xxxx}(x, t) = h(x, t), & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \tau), \\ v_x(0, t) = v_x(1, t) = v_{xx}(0, t) = v_{xx}(1, t) = 0, & \text{on } (0, \tau), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

and analysed the inverse problem of identifying the time-dependent coefficient $a(t)$, called the *Mullins' coefficient*, from the knowledge of the data $v(x_0, t)$, where $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ is any fixed given point and v is the solution of the considered PDE. Subsequently, in [1], the author considered a more general fourth order parabolic PDE and investigated the inverse source identification problem associated with it. More precisely, the author considered the inverse problem of identification of the source function f from the knowledge of final time observation $v(x, \tau)$ or the time-integral observation $\int_0^\tau \omega(t)v(x, t) dt$, for $0 < x < 1$, where v is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} v_t(x, t) + v_{xxxx}(x, t) = f(x)g(x, t) + h(x, t), & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \tau), \\ v(0, t) = \mu_1(t), \quad v(1, t) = \mu_2(t), & \text{on } (0, \tau), \\ v_{xx}(0, t) = \mu_3(t), \quad v_{xx}(1, t) = \mu_4(t), & \text{on } (0, \tau), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

for some given functions $\omega, g, h, v_0, \mu_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

As a follow up to the above developments, in a recent work [11], the authors have extended the investigation from integer-order derivative to fractional order setting. They considered an inverse problem of identifying time-dependent source term f from the final value $v(\cdot, \tau)$, associated with a time-fractional fourth order parabolic

PDE as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha v(x, t) + Bv_{xxxx}(x, t) = f(t)g(x, t), & \text{in } (0, 1) \times (0, \tau), \\ v(1, t) = v_x(0, t) = v_{xx}(1, t) = v_{xxx}(0, t) = 0, & \text{on } (0, \tau), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

where $B > 0$ is a surface diffusion coefficient, v_0 is some appropriate function. Here (and throughout the paper) ∂_t^α is the Caputo fractional derivative of order α (cf. [20]) given by

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t^\alpha v(x, t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{v_s(x, s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ v_t(x, t), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the standard Gamma function, that is, $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{t-1} ds$, $t > 0$.

Note that forward problem associated with such time-fractional fourth order parabolic equations have been studied by many authors in various context, see for instance [7, 10].

It is important to recall that over the last few decades the research on time-fractional backward heat conduction problems (TFBHCP) have gained substantial attention due to its many practical applications. Since the work in [14], the literature on TFBHCP and its various variants have grown remarkably (see e.g., [9, 13, 23]). Considering the importance of fractional-order derivative, it is desirable to study various inverse problem associated with time-fractional fourth order parabolic equations. Recently some works on source identification problem associated with time-fractional fourth order parabolic equations have been reported (see e.g. [11]), as mentioned earlier. However, to the best of our knowledge, the backward problem for time-fractional fourth order parabolic equations has not been explored yet and thus, this paper intends to contribute in that direction.

In this paper we are interested in the inverse problem of retrieving the initial value from the knowledge of final value and the source function, associated with a time-fractional fourth order parabolic PDE. More precisely, we consider the following: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$, (see Remark 3.5 for this restriction on d) be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $\tau > 0$ be fixed. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We consider the system

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u + \Delta^2 u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ u = 0 = \Delta u, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ u(\cdot, \tau) = h, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^\infty([0, \tau]; L^2(\Omega))$, $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and ∂_t^α is the Caputo fractional derivative as defined in (1.1). The inverse problem that we consider is:

(IP): retrieve the initial value $u(\cdot, 0)$ from the knowledge of h and f , where u is a solution of (1.2).

Similar to TFBHCP, the inverse problem to recover $u(\cdot, 0)$ from the knowledge of h, f , associated with (1.2) is an ill-posed problem in the sense that a small perturbations in the data may lead to a large deviation in the sought initial value (see Example 3.9). Further, the problem of recovering $u(\cdot, t)$ for $0 < t < \tau$ is stable with respect to the perturbations in the data h, f , (see Remark 3.8), possessing the properties similar to that of TFBHCP.

In practice, we shall have access to some measured data, and hence noise in the data is inevitable. We assume that for $\delta > 0$, $h^\delta \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f^\delta \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ are the noisy data satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \|h - h^\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \theta \|f - f^\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \delta^2,$$

where $\theta > 0$ is a fixed known constant that will be specified later (see Proposition 3.4).

Thus, the inverse problem at hand is to recover $u(\cdot, 0)$ from the knowledge of h^δ and f^δ satisfying (1.3). Since the problem is ill-posed, in order to obtain stable approximations, we have to employ some regularization methods (see e.g., [4, 19]). We obtain stable approximations by the quasi-boundary value method (QBVM) (see e.g., [8, 23]), its modifications (MQBVM) (see e.g., [9, 15, 24]) and the Fourier truncation method (FTM) (see e.g., [13, 16]). Just to give some insight, in QBVM we perturb the final value in (1.2) by adding the initial value multiplied by the regularization parameter, that is, we consider the resulting perturbed term as $u(\cdot, \tau) + \beta u(\cdot, 0)$, where $\beta > 0$ is the regularization parameter. For the MQBVM we consider the resulting perturbed term as $u(\cdot, \tau) + \beta(-\Delta)^q u(\cdot, 0)$, where $\beta > 0$ is the regularization parameter and $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Note

that in literature, the case $q = 1$, has been studied extensively for various problems (see e.g., [24]), and is known by the name modified quasi-boundary value method. In FTM, we consider the truncated version of the Fourier expansion of the concerned function and the level at which we truncate plays the role of regularization parameter.

It is known in regularization theory that unless we assume some *source condition* (see e.g., [4, 19]) on the unknown, the convergence can be arbitrarily slow [22], that is, we can not obtain any convergence rate. In view of this, we assume that the unknown $g = u(\cdot, 0)$ belongs to some *source sets* which are subsets of some Sobolev spaces H_p , where $p > 0$ indicates the smoothness property, and then obtain error estimates and hence deduce the convergence rates of the approximations by the considered regularization methods. We shall provide both the apriori and the aposteriori parameter choice strategies and obtain the corresponding error estimates for all of them. As an important implication, we observe that for the FTM, the convergence rates in both the apriori and aposteriori cases is of the form $O(\delta^{p/(p+2)})$ for all $p > 0$, where δ is the noise as considered in (1.3). However, for the QBVM and MQBVM, for both the apriori and aposteriori cases, the convergence rates possesses saturation effect. For the QBVM the best rates possible for the apriori and aposteriori cases is $O(\delta^{1/2})$ and for MQBVM the best rates possible for the apriori and aposteriori cases are $O(\delta^{(q+2)/(q+4)})$ and $O(\delta^{q/(q+2)})$, respectively, for $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we observe that, if p belongs to certain interval then the rates of FTM, QBVM, MQBVM are all the same for apriori case, and for certain values of p the aposteriori rates for FTM and MQBVM are also the same. Moreover, we shall see that for the considered source sets the rates obtained by the FTM is always order optimal for all $p > 0$, but the same is not the case for QBVM and MQBVM (see Remark 5.9).

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we list some notations, important facts, results about the *Mittag-Leffler function* and some basic result on calculus, that will be used throughout. In Section 3 we mainly discuss the relation between the sought initial value and the data, that is, the final value and the source function. We show by an example the ill-posedness of the considered inverse problem of retrieving the initial value. We also establish a conditional stability result. Section 4 is all about the QBVM and MQBVM. We provide both apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies and obtain the corresponding error estimates. Section 5 is about the FTM. We systematically define the regularized solutions and provide both apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies and obtain the corresponding convergence rates. Moreover, we discuss about the optimality of the obtained rate.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall and record some of the results, facts, notations that will be used throughout the paper. To begin with, we first recall a standard result from spectral theory of elliptic equations. Let Ω be as earlier. Then the following eigenvalue problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

admits a sequence (λ_n) of eigenvalues such that $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ and the corresponding sequence (φ_n) of eigenfunctions are such that $\varphi_n \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ which form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$ (cf. [5]). Moreover, it is known that (see e.g., [3]) there exist constants $e_1, e_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad e_1 n^{2/d} \leq \lambda_n \leq e_2 n^{2/d}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Before proceeding further, let us list the following notations that we will be followed throughout.

- For $z \in L^2(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, we may use the notation $z(t)$ to denote $z(\cdot, t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, \tau]$.
- $C([0, \tau]; L^2(\Omega))$ denotes the standard space of all $L^2(\Omega)$ -valued continuous function in $[0, \tau]$.
- For $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ denotes the standard inner-product in $L^2(\Omega)$ and we shall denote the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ by $\|\cdot\|$.
- For $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$, we shall always denote $\langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle$ by ϕ_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- For $z \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ we shall denote $\langle z(s), \varphi_n \rangle$ by $z_n(s)$ for $0 \leq s \leq \tau$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now recall the definition of Mittag-Leffler function (cf. [20]). For $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the Mittag-Leffler function denoted by $E_{\gamma,\beta}$, is defined by

$$E_{\gamma,\beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\gamma + \beta)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 2.1. [14] *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{C_1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{(1-x)} \leq E_{\alpha,1}(x) \leq \frac{\bar{C}_1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{(1-x)} \quad \forall x \leq 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. [21, (3.7), pg. 434] *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$. The function $t \mapsto t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)$, belongs to $L^1(0, \tau)$ and*

$$\int_0^\tau |t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha)| dt \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

We end this section by stating a result whose proof follows from basic calculus.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $c > 0$ be fixed. The following holds:*

(i) *For $\beta, q > 0$, the function $\psi_{q,\beta} : [\lambda_1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined by*

$$\psi_{q,\beta}(s) = \frac{s^2}{c + \beta s^{q+2}}$$

attains its global maximum at $s_0 = (2c/q)^{1/(q+2)} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/(q+2)}}$ and $\psi(s_0) = (2c/q)^{2/(q+2)} \frac{q}{c(1+q)} \frac{1}{\beta^{2/(q+2)}}$.

(ii) *Let $q \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$. For $0 < p < q + 2$, the function $\phi_{p,q,\beta} : [\lambda_1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by*

$$\phi_{p,q,\beta}(s) = \frac{\beta s^{q+2-p}}{c + \beta s^{q+2}}$$

attains its global maximum at $s_0 = (c(q+2-p)/p)^{1/(q+2)} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/(q+2)}}$ and

$$\phi_{p,q,\beta}(s_0) = (c(q+2-p)/p)^{(q+2-p)/(q+2)} \frac{p}{c(q+2)} \beta^{p/(q+2)}.$$

3. PREPARATORY RESULTS

In order to establish a relation between the sought initial value and the data, that is, the source function and the final value, we first consider the solution representation of a forward problem. We consider the PDE

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha v + \Delta^2 v = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ v = 0 = \Delta v, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ v(\cdot, 0) = g, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Let v satisfies (3.1). Then following [11], we have

$$(3.2) \quad v(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha) g_n + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2 (t-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds] \varphi_n(x),$$

where recall that $g_n = \langle g, \varphi_n \rangle$ and $f_n(s) = \langle f(\cdot, s), \varphi_n \rangle$.

Definition 3.1. Any $v \in C([0, \tau]; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfying (3.2) is said to be a solution of (3.1). $\diamond$

Clearly, v given by (3.2) is indeed a solution of (3.1). Now suppose that it is given $v(\cdot, \tau) = h(\cdot)$. Then, we obtain

$$h(x) = v(x, \tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) g_n + \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2 (\tau-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds] \varphi_n(x),$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad g_n = \frac{h_n - \int_0^\tau (\tau-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2 (\tau-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In order to reduce the notational complexity, for any $h, h^\delta \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f, f^\delta \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, we define the following

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t) := \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2(t-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \\ \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(t) := \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2(t-s)^\alpha) f_n^\delta(s) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \\ \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} := h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau), \\ \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n} := h_n^\delta - \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(\tau), \end{cases}$$

where we recall that $h_n = \langle h, \varphi_n \rangle$ and $f_n(s) = \langle f(s), \varphi_n \rangle$.

Thus, from (3.3), we have

$$(3.5) \quad g_n = \frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} = \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)},$$

and hence from (3.2), we have

$$(3.6) \quad v(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} (h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)) + \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t) \right] \varphi_n(x), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. Any $v \in C([0, \tau]; L^2(\Omega))$ that satisfies (3.6) is said to be a solution of the backward problem (1.2). $\diamond$

Before proceeding further, let us put in place some technical results.

Proposition 3.3. Let $f, \tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. Let $\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t)$ and $\Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha,n}(t)$ be as defined in (3.4). Then the following holds:

- (i) For all $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we have $|\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}$.
- (ii) For all $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we have $|\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t) - \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha,n}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \|f - \tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}$

Proof. (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2(t-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))} \int_0^t |t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)| dt \\ &\stackrel{\text{Theorem 2.2}}{\leq} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). The proof follows from (i). $\square$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\theta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^4}$. Let $h, \tilde{h} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f, \tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. Let $\Upsilon_{h,f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n$ and $\Upsilon_{\tilde{h},\tilde{f}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{\tilde{h},\tilde{f}}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n$, where $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}$ is as defined in (3.4). Then the following holds:

- (i) We have $\Upsilon_{h,f} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\Upsilon_{h,f}\|^2 \leq 2(\|h\|^2 + \theta \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2).$$

- (ii) We have

$$\|\Upsilon_{\tilde{h},\tilde{f}} - \Upsilon_{h,f}\|^2 \leq 2(\|h - \tilde{h}\|^2 + \theta \|\tilde{f} - f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2).$$

Proof. First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|h_n|^2 + |\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)|^2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proposition 3.3}}{\leq} 2(\|h\|^2 + \theta \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof follows. $\square$

Remark 3.5. From (2.2), we know that $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sim \frac{1}{n^{2/d}}$. Thus, if $1 \leq d \leq 7$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^4} < \infty$ and hence it make sense to define

$$\theta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^4}.$$

◇

We are now in a position to state and prove the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of backward problem (1.2).

Theorem 3.6. *Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. The backward problem associated with (1.2) has a unique solution if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 < \infty$$

and the solution is given by

$$(3.7) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} (h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)) + \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(t) \right] \varphi_n(x), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau.$$

Proof. From Definition 3.2 and the solution representation (3.2) of the solution of (3.1), we observe that if $u(\cdot, t)$ as defined in (3.7), belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, then it is indeed a solution of the backward problem (1.2). Thus, it remains to ensure that $u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Towards this, for $t \neq 0$, by invoking Theorem 2.1, we first observe that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \leq \frac{C_2(1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2} \frac{1}{t^\alpha},$$

which is independent of n . Hence by Propositions 3.3 (i) and 3.4 (i), it follows that $u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega)$.

For $t = 0$, observe that $E_{\alpha, 1}(0) = 1$ and $\Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(0) = 0$. Thus, $u(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 < \infty.$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *For $h, \tilde{h} \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f, \tilde{f} \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, let u and $\tilde{u}$ be the corresponding solutions for the backward problem associated with (1.2). Then for $0 < t < \tau$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on $C_1, C_2, \alpha, \tau, \lambda_1$, such that*

$$\|\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{4C^2}{t^{2\alpha}} (\|h - \tilde{h}\|^2 + \theta \|f - \tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2) + 2\theta \|f - \tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2.$$

Proof. From (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)\|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} (h_n - \tilde{h}_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau) + \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha, n}(\tau)) \right|^2 + |\Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(t) - \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha, n}(t)|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(3.8)}{\leq} 2 \left(\frac{C_2(1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2} \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n - \tilde{h}_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau) + \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha, n}(\tau)|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(t) - \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha, n}(t)|^2 \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{C_2(1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2} \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \right)^2 \|\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}}\|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(t) - \Psi_{\tilde{f}}^{\alpha, n}(t)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where recall that $\Upsilon_{h, f}$ is as in Proposition 3.4. Now the proof follows from Propositions 3.3 and 3.4. □

Remark 3.8. Theorem 3.7 shows that, for $0 < t < \tau$, the solution of the backward problem (1.2), is stable with respect to the perturbation in the data h and f . ◇

The next question one would like to ask is, if u is a solution of the backward problem (1.2), then whether $u(0)$ is stable under perturbations in h and f . The answer is in the negative as is seen in the following example.

Example 3.9. (Ill-posed) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \tau)$, let $f(x, t) = 0 = \tilde{f}^n(x, t)$, $h(x) = \varphi_1(x)$ and $\tilde{h}^n(x) = h(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \varphi_n(x)$. Corresponding to the source function f and the final value h , let $u(0)$ denote the initial value associated with the backward problem (1.2), and for the source function $\tilde{f}$ and the final value $\tilde{h}^n$, let $\tilde{u}^n(0)$ denote the initial value associated with the backward problem (1.2). Then from the representation (3.7), it follows that $u(0) = \frac{1}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_1$ and $\tilde{u}^n(0) = \frac{1}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n$. Now we observe that $\|h - \tilde{h}^n\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ but

$$\|u(0) - \tilde{u}^n(0)\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \underset{\text{Theorem 2.1}}{\geq} \frac{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{C_2} \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ◇

We now obtain an equivalent formulation of the inverse problem of retrieving the initial value from the final value and the source function.

Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\Upsilon_{h,f}$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Let u be the solution of the backward problem (1.2). Then from (3.5) and Proposition 3.4 (i), we observe that the inverse problem of recovering the initial value $g = u(\cdot, 0)$ from the knowledge of $h = u(\cdot, \tau)$ and f , is same as solving the operator equation

$$(3.9) \quad Tg = \Upsilon_{h,f},$$

where $T : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$(3.10) \quad T\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) \langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n, \quad \phi \in L^2(\Omega).$$

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}$ and thus it follows that $T : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a compact operator of infinite rank. Therefore, solving (3.9) is an ill-posed problem. Moreover, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 < \infty$$

then (3.9) has a unique solution given by

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \varphi_n,$$

where g_n is as defined in (3.5) and $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}$ is as defined in (3.4).

We now recall definitions of some Sobolev spaces that are relevant in our analysis. For $p \geq 0$, let

$$H_p = \left\{ \phi \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} |\langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It is known that H_p is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner-product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} \langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in H_p,$$

and the corresponding norm is given by

$$\|\phi\|_{H_p}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} |\langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle|^2, \quad \phi \in H_p.$$

Note that $H_0 = L^2(\Omega)$ and $H_{1/2} = H_0^1(\Omega)$.

For some $\varrho > 0$, we consider the following set

$$(3.11) \quad S_{\varrho,p} := \{ \phi \in H_p : \|\phi\|_{H_p} \leq \varrho \}.$$

These sets will be the *source sets* that are of interest in our analysis.

We end this section by proving a conditional stability estimate.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\Upsilon_{h,f}$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Let g be the unique solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$ for some $\varrho, p > 0$, then there exists a constant C_3 , depending on α, τ and C_1 , such that*

$$\|g\| \leq C_3^{p/(p+2)} \|g\|_{H_p}^{2/(p+2)} \|\Upsilon_{h,f}\|^{p/(p+2)}.$$

Proof. Since $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ is a solution of (3.9), we have $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \varphi_n$, where g_n are as in (3.5), that is,

$$g_n = \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} = \frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^{2p/(p+2)} \left(\frac{|\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2}{|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)|^{(p+2)}} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2}{|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)|^{(p+2)}} \right)^{2/(p+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\frac{1}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \leq C_3 \lambda_n^2,$$

where $C_3 = \frac{(1+\lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha) \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2}$. Thus, using the fact that

$$\frac{|\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2}{|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)|^{(p+2)}} = \frac{g_n^2}{|E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)|^p},$$

we have

$$\|g\|^2 \leq C_3^{2p/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}|^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \right)^{2/(p+2)},$$

that is,

$$\|g\|^2 \leq C_3^{2p/(p+2)} \|\Upsilon_{h,f}\|^{2p/(p+2)} \|g\|_{H_p}^{4/(p+2)}.$$

Now the proof follows. $\square$

4. QUASI-BOUNDARY VALUE METHOD AND ITS MODIFICATION

We have seen in the preceding section that the considered inverse problem of retrieving the initial value from the knowledge of final value and the source term, is an ill-posed problem in the sense that a small perturbations in the data h and f may lead to a large deviation in the sought solution. But, in practice, we shall have knowledge of the data that are corrupted by some noise. Thus, we have to employ some regularization method to obtain stable approximations. In this section we shall employ the quasi-boundary value method, its modifications and obtain error estimates for apriori as well as aposteriori parameter choice strategies.

For $\beta > 0$ and $q = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we consider the following equation

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha v + \Delta^2 v = f, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ v = 0 = \Delta v, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ v(\tau) + \beta(-\Delta)^q v(0) = h, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. Note that β will play the role of regularization parameter.

By separation of variable method, we know that the solution of (4.1) is of the form

$$u_\beta(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [A_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n^2 (t-s)^\alpha) f_n(s) ds] \varphi_n(x),$$

where A_n 's are constants to be determined. Now using the condition $u_\beta(\tau) + \beta(-\Delta)^q u_\beta(0) = h$, we obtain

$$A_n = \frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)$ is as defined in (3.4). Therefore, the solution u_β of (4.1) is given by

$$(4.2) \quad u_\beta(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau))E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} + \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(t) \right] \varphi_n(x)$$

Now taking the noisy data h^δ and f^δ in place of the exact data h and f , respectively, the solution u_β^δ of (4.1) is given by

$$(4.3) \quad u_\beta^\delta(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(h_n^\delta - \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(\tau))E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 t^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} + \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(t) \right] \varphi_n(x),$$

where $\Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(\tau)$ is as defined in (3.4).

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. For $\beta > 0$, let $A_\beta(n) := \frac{1}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The following holds:*

(i) *There exists a constant $C_4 > 0$, depending on $\alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, C_1$, such that*

$$\sup_n A_\beta(n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta}, & q = 0, \\ (2C_4/q)^{2/(q+2)} \frac{q}{C_4(1+q)} \frac{1}{\beta^{2/(q+2)}}, & q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *For $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right|^2 \leq 2(\sup_n A_\beta(n))^2 (\|h\|^2 + \theta \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2) < \infty,$$

where θ is as in Proposition 3.4.

Proof. (i). Let $q = 0$. Since $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) > 0$, it follows that $A_\beta(n) \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$, for all n .

Let $q \neq 0$. From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) \geq \frac{C_4}{\lambda_n^2},$$

where $C_4 := \frac{C_1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha}$. Thus, we have

$$A_\beta(n) \leq \frac{\lambda_n^2}{C_4 + \beta \lambda_n^{q+2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now the result follows from Lemma 2.3 (i).

(ii). The proof follows from Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 4.1 (i). $\square$

Remark 4.2. Let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$. It is worth to note that for every $\beta > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $u_\beta(t) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, where $u_\beta(t)$ is as defined in (4.2). Indeed, for $0 < t \leq \tau$, the assertion follows by the arguments employed in the proof of Theorem 3.6. For $t = 0$, the assertion follows by Lemma 4.1 (ii). $\diamond$

Thus, it follows that for every $\beta > 0, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, the equation (4.1) has a unique solution u_β given by (4.2). In particular, we have

$$(4.4) \quad u_\beta(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right] \varphi_n \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Note that these $u_\beta(0)$ are the candidates for the regularized solutions in this section.

For $h^\delta \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f^\delta \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, from (4.3), we have

$$(4.5) \quad u_\beta^\delta(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{h_n^\delta - \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right] \varphi_n \in L^2(\Omega)$$

Theorem 4.3. Let θ be as in Proposition 3.4, C_4 be as in Lemma 4.1 and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $\delta > 0$, let $f, f^\delta \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and $h, h^\delta \in L^2(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\|h - h^\delta\|^2 + \theta \|f - f^\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \delta^2.$$

For $\beta > 0$, let $u_\beta(0)$ and $u_\beta^\delta(0)$ be as defined in (4.4) and (4.5), respectively. Then there exists a constant $C_5 > 0$, depending on C_4, q , such that

$$\|u_\beta^\delta(0) - u_\beta(0)\| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \frac{\delta}{\beta}, & q = 0, \\ C_5 \frac{\delta}{\beta^{2/(q+2)}}, & q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\beta^\delta(0) - u_\beta(0)\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{h_n^\delta - h_n - \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha, n}(\tau) + \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n^\delta - h_n - \Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha, n}(\tau) + \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|h_n - h_n^\delta|^2 + |\Psi_{f^\delta}^{\alpha, n} - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}|^2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.3}}{\leq} 2 \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|h_n - h_n^\delta|^2 + \frac{\|f - f^\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2}{\lambda_n^4}) \\ &= 2 \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 (\|h - h^\delta\|^2 + \theta \|f - f^\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof follows from Lemma 4.1 (i) for $q = 0$, and by taking $C_5 = \sqrt{2}(2C_4/q)^{2/(q+2)} \frac{q}{C_4(1+q)}$ for $q \neq 0$. $\square$

Remark 4.4. From Remark 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, it follows that retrieving $u_\beta(0)$ from the knowledge of f, h associated with (4.1), is a well-posed problem. $\diamond$

For $\varrho, p > 0$, recall the definition of the source set $S_{\varrho, p}$ as given in (3.11).

Theorem 4.5. Let $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for $\beta > 0$, let $u_\beta(0)$ be as in (4.4), C_4 be as in Lemma 4.1 and g be the unique solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho, p}$, for some $\varrho, p > 0$, then there exist constants $C_6 > 0$, depending on C_4, q, p , and $C_7 > 0$, depending on C_4, p, q, λ_1 , such that

$$\|u_\beta(0) - g\| \leq \begin{cases} C_6 \varrho \beta^{p/(q+2)}, & 0 < p < q + 2, \\ C_7 \varrho \beta, & p \geq q + 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall that $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \varphi_n$, where g_n is as in (3.5). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\beta(0) - g\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(\frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} - \frac{1}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right) (h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau)) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta \lambda_n^{q-p}}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_n^p (h_n - \Psi_f^{\alpha, n}(\tau))}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sup_n B_\beta(n) \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sup_n B_\beta(n) \right)^2 \|g\|_{H_p}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_\beta(n) = \frac{\beta \lambda_n^{q-p}}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now from Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q \geq \frac{C_4 + \beta \lambda_n^{q+2}}{\lambda_1^2},$$

where $C_4 = \frac{C_1 \lambda_1^2}{(1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha) \Gamma(1-\alpha)}$.

Case 1. Let $0 < p < q + 2$.

Then by Lemma 2.3 (ii), it follows that

$$B_\beta(n) \leq C_6 \beta^{p/(q+2)},$$

where $C_6 = \left(\frac{C_4(q+2-p)}{p} \right)^{(q+2-p)/(q+2)} \frac{p}{C_4(q+2)}$.

Case 2. Let $p \geq q + 2$.

Then

$$B_\beta(n) \leq \frac{\beta \lambda_n^{q+2-p}}{C_4 + \beta \lambda_n^{q+2}} \leq \frac{\beta}{C_4 \lambda_n^{p-q-2}} \leq C_7 \beta,$$

where $C_7 = \frac{1}{C_4 \lambda_1^{p-q-2}}$.

Now the proof follows. $\square$

Now we are in a position to state one of the main result of this section whose proof follows by combining the estimates obtained in Theorems 4.3 and 4.5, respectively. This result provides the rates of convergence for the approximations by regularized solutions obtained by modified quasi-boundary value method for all $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ under an apriori parameter choice strategy.

Theorem 4.6. For $\beta, \delta > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $h, h^\delta, f, f^\delta, u_\beta(0), u_\beta^\delta(0)$ be as in Theorem 4.3. Let p, ϱ, g be as in Theorem 4.5. Let C_5, C_6, C_7 be as in Theorems 4.3 and 4.5, respectively. Then we have the following:

(i) For $q = 0, 0 < p < 2$, and the choice $\beta \sim (\delta/\varrho)^{2/(p+2)}$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_8 > 0$, depending on C_6, p , such that

$$\|u_\beta^\delta(0) - g\| \leq \tilde{C}_8 \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

(ii) For $q = 0, p \geq 2$, and the choice $\beta \sim (\delta/\varrho)^{1/2}$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_9$, depending on C_7 , such that

$$\|u_\beta^\delta(0) - g\| \leq \tilde{C}_9 \varrho^{1/2} \delta^{1/2}.$$

(iii) For $q \neq 0, 0 < p < q + 2$, and the choice $\beta \sim (\delta/\varrho)^{(q+2)/(p+2)}$, there exists a constant $C_8 > 0$, depending on C_5, C_6, p, q , such that

$$\|u_\beta^\delta(0) - g\| \leq C_8 \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

(iv) For $q \neq 0, p \geq q + 2$ and the choice $\beta \sim (\delta/\varrho)^{(q+2)/(q+4)}$, there exists a constant $C_9 > 0$, depending on C_5, C_7, q , such that

$$\|u_\beta^\delta(0) - g\| \leq C_9 \varrho^{2/(q+4)} \delta^{(q+2)/(q+4)}.$$

In Theorem 4.6 we have obtained the rates by choosing the regularization parameter β in a certain manner that requires the apriori knowledge of p . This means that one has to apriori know the smoothness of the unknown g . But that is not always feasible and is too restrictive to assume. In order to overcome this, we provide an aposteriori parameter choice strategy in the subsequent analysis.

4.1. Error estimates with aposteriori parameter choice. For $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, let $\Upsilon_{h,f}$ be as in Proposition 3.4, that is, $\Upsilon_{h,f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n$, where $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}$ is as defined in (3.4). Also, recall that the considered inverse problem is formulated as solving an operator equation $Tg = \Upsilon_{h,f}$, where T is as defined in (3.10).

We now state a result which has been used frequently in the literature. Since the context in which we are using is new, we include only the key steps in the proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 4.7. For $\beta, \delta > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $u_\beta^\delta(0)$ be as in (4.5) and $\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}$ be as defined above with $\|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \neq 0$. Let

$$\Phi(\beta) = \|Tu_\beta^\delta(0) - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\|, \quad \beta > 0.$$

Then the following holds:

- (i) Φ is continuous and increasing.
(ii) $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\beta) = 0$ and $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\beta) = \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\|$.

Proof. (i). The continuity follows easily by observing that

$$(\Phi(\beta))^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^2 \lambda_n^{2q} (\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n})^2}{(E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q)^2}.$$

In order to show that Φ is increasing, we first observe that for $b, c > 0$, the function $\frac{bt}{bt+c}$ is increasing for $t \geq 0$. Since $\|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \neq 0$, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, m} \neq 0$. Using these facts, it follows that Φ is indeed increasing.

(ii). From the expression of $(\Phi(\beta))^2$ it follows that $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \Phi(\beta) = 0$. Next, from Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\beta^2 \lambda_n^{2q}}{(E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q)^2} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{C}/(\beta \lambda_1^q (1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha))},$$

where $\tilde{C} = \frac{C_2}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$. This implies that

$$(\Phi(\beta))^2 \geq \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{C}/(\beta \lambda_1^q (1 + \lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha))} \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\|^2.$$

However, we also observe that

$$(\Phi(\beta))^2 \leq \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\|^2.$$

Now the proof follows by taking the limit as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. □

LEMMA 4.8. *Let g be the solution of (3.9) and suppose that $g \in S_{\varrho, p}$ for some $\varrho, p > 0$. For $\delta > 0$, let Φ be as defined in Lemma 4.7. Let $\xi > \sqrt{2}$ be given and $\nu \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Then for every $\delta > 0$ small enough, with $(\xi + \sqrt{2})\delta^\nu \leq \|\Upsilon_{h, f}\|$ there exist unique $\beta_\delta > 0$ and $\tilde{\beta}_\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi(\beta_\delta) = \xi\delta, \quad \Phi(\tilde{\beta}_\delta) = \xi\delta^\nu,$$

and the following result holds:

- (i) For $q \neq 0$ and $0 < p < q$, there exists a constant $C_{11} > 0$, depending on $C_1, C_2, \alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, p, q$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_\delta} \leq \left(\frac{C_{11}}{\xi - \sqrt{2}} \right)^{(q+2)/(p+2)} \left(\frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{(q+2)/(p+2)}.$$

- (ii) For $q \neq 0$ and $p \geq q$, there exists a constant $C_{12} > 0$, depending on $C_1, C_2, \alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, p, q$, such that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_\delta} \leq \frac{C_{12}}{\xi - \sqrt{2}} \frac{\varrho}{\delta}.$$

- (iii) For $q = 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{2p} (\xi - \sqrt{2})} \frac{\varrho}{\delta^\nu}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 3.4 (ii), we first note that

$$\|\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \leq \sqrt{2}\delta < \sqrt{2}\delta^\nu.$$

Let $\xi > \sqrt{2}$. Now the existence of unique $\beta_\delta, \tilde{\beta}_\delta > 0$ satisfying $\Phi(\beta_\delta) = \xi\delta$ and $\Phi(\tilde{\beta}_\delta) = \xi\delta^\nu$ follows from Lemma 4.7 by observing that $\|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \geq \|\Upsilon_{h, f}\| - \|\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \geq \xi\delta^\nu > \xi\delta$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi\delta &= \Phi(\beta_\delta) = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n}}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n} \varphi_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_\delta \lambda_n^q \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n}}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_\delta \lambda_n^q \Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n}}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_\delta \lambda_n^q (\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n} - \Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n})}{E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha}) + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n \right\| + \sqrt{2} \delta.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi - \sqrt{2})^2 \delta^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha}) + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_{\beta_{\delta}}(n))^2 \frac{\lambda_n^{2p}}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha}))^2} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_{\beta_{\delta}}(n) = \frac{\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha})}{\lambda_n^p (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha}) + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^q)}$. Now, from Theorem 2.1, it can be verified that

$$D_{\beta_{\delta}}(n) \leq C_{10} \frac{\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q-p}}{C_4 + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2}},$$

where $C_4 = \frac{C_1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2 \tau^{\alpha}}$ and $C_{10} = \frac{C_2}{\Gamma(1-\alpha) \tau^{\alpha}}$.

(i). Let $q \neq 0$ and $0 < p < q$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2} &\geq \frac{q-p}{q+2} \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2} + \frac{p+2}{q+2} C_4 \\ &= \frac{q-p}{q+2} [(\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2})^{(q-p)/(q+2)}]^{(q+2)/(q-p)} + \frac{p+2}{q+2} [C_4^{(p+2)/(q+2)}]^{(q+2)/(p+2)} \\ &\geq (\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2})^{(q-p)/(q+2)} (C_4)^{(p+2)/(q+2)} \\ &= C_4^{(p+2)/(q+2)} \beta_{\delta}^{(q-p)/(q+2)} \lambda_n^{q-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case,

$$D_{\beta_{\delta}}(n) \leq C_{10} \frac{\beta_{\delta}}{C_4^{(p+2)/(q+2)} \beta_{\delta}^{(q-p)/(q+2)}} = C_{11} \beta_{\delta}^{(p+2)/(q+2)},$$

where $C_{11} = \frac{C_{10}}{C_4^{(p+2)/(q+2)}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi - \sqrt{2})^2 \delta^2 &\leq C_{11}^2 \beta_{\delta}^{2(p+2)/(q+2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^{2p}}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^{\alpha}))^2} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2 \\ &= C_{11}^2 \beta_{\delta}^{2(p+2)/(q+2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \\ &\leq C_{11}^2 \varrho^2 \beta_{\delta}^{2(p+2)/(q+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{\delta}} \leq \left(\frac{C_{11}}{\xi - \sqrt{2}} \right)^{(q+2)/(p+2)} \left(\frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{(q+2)/(p+2)}.$$

(ii). Let $q \neq 0$ and $p \geq q$.

In this case, we have

$$D_{\beta_{\delta}}(n) \leq C_{10} \frac{\beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q-p}}{C_4 + \beta_{\delta} \lambda_n^{q+2}} \leq \frac{C_{10}}{C_4} \frac{\beta_{\delta}}{\lambda_1^{p-q}} = C_{12} \beta_{\delta},$$

where $C_{12} = \frac{C_{10}}{C_4 \lambda_1^{p-q}}$. Thus,

$$(\xi - \sqrt{2})^2 \delta^2 \leq C_{12}^2 \beta_{\delta}^2 \varrho^2,$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{\delta}} \leq \frac{C_{12}}{\xi - \sqrt{2}} \frac{\varrho}{\delta}.$$

(iii). Let $q = 0$. Recall that there exists a unique $\tilde{\beta}_\delta > 0$ such that $\Phi(\tilde{\beta}_\delta) = \xi\delta^\nu$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi\delta^\nu &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta} \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n} \right) \varphi_n \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta} \varphi_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta} \varphi_n \right\| + \sqrt{2}\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi - \sqrt{2})^2\delta^{2\nu} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta^2 (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta^2 (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha))^2}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta)^2} g_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta^2 (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha))^2}{\lambda_n^{2p} (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2\tau^\alpha) + \tilde{\beta}_\delta)^2} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\delta^2}{\lambda_1^{2p}} \varrho^2,\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\xi - \sqrt{2})\delta^\nu \leq \frac{\varrho\tilde{\beta}_\delta}{\lambda_1^p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1^p(\xi - \sqrt{2})} \frac{\varrho}{\delta^\nu}.$$

□

Thus, from Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.8, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\xi, \nu \in (0, 1)$, $\delta, \Phi, \beta_\delta$ and $\tilde{\beta}_\delta$ be as in Lemma 4.8. Let $u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)$ and $u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}^\delta(0)$ be as in (4.5) and (4.4), respectively. Let g be the solution of (3.9) and C_5, C_{11}, C_{12} be as in Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.8. If $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$ for some $\varrho, p > 0$, then the following result holds:*

(i) *For $q \neq 0$, $0 < p < q$, there exists a constant $C_{14} > 0$, depending on C_5, C_{11}, ξ, p , such that*

$$\|u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0) - u_{\beta_\delta}\| \leq C_{14}\varrho^{2/(p+2)}\delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

(ii) *For $q \neq 0$, $p \geq q$, there exists a constant $C_{15} > 0$, depending on C_5, C_{12}, ξ, q , such that*

$$\|u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0) - u_{\beta_\delta}(0)\| \leq C_{15}\varrho^{2/(q+2)}\delta^{q/(q+2)}.$$

(iii) *For $q = 0$, there exists a constant $C_{16} > 0$, depending on C_5, ξ, λ_1, p , such that*

$$\|u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0) - u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}(0)\| \leq C_{16}\varrho\delta^{1-\nu}.$$

We now obtain estimate for $\|u_{\beta_\delta}(0) - g\|$ and $\|u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}(0) - g\|$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\delta, \nu, \xi, \beta_\delta, \tilde{\beta}_\delta$ be as in Theorem 4.9, $u_{\beta_\delta}(0)$ be as in (4.4) and C_3 be as in Theorem 3.10. Let g be the solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$, for some $\varrho, p > 0$ then there exists a constant $C'_{13} > 0$, depending on C_3, p, ξ , such that the following holds:*

(i) *For $q \neq 0$,*

$$\|g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0)\| \leq C'_{13}\varrho^{2/(p+2)}\delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

(ii) *For $q = 0$,*

$$\|g - u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}(0)\| \leq C'_{13}\varrho^{2/(p+2)}\delta^{p\nu/(p+2)}.$$

Proof. From the definition of T (see (3.10)), we have

$$Tu_{\beta_\delta}(0) - Tu_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} (\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n} - \Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n}) \varphi_n,$$

and hence

$$\|Tu_{\beta_\delta}(0) - Tu_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta_\delta \lambda_n^q} \right|^2 |\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n} - \Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n}|^2 \leq 2\delta^2.$$

(i). Let $q \neq 0$.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tg - Tu_{\beta_\delta}(0)\| &= \|\Upsilon_{h, f} - Tu_{\beta_\delta}(0)\| \\ &\leq \|Tu_{\beta_\delta}(0) - Tu_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)\| + \|Tu_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0) - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| + \|\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}\| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\delta + \xi\delta + \sqrt{2}\delta \\ &= (\xi + 2\sqrt{2})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta \lambda_n^q g_n}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} |\langle g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0), \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} \left(\frac{\beta \lambda_n^q g_n}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) + \beta \lambda_n^q} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \leq \varrho^2.$$

This shows that $g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0) \in H_p$ and $\|g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0)\|_{H_p} \leq \varrho$. Thus, from Theorem 3.10, we have

$$\|g - u_{\beta_\delta}(0)\| \leq C_3^{p/(p+2)} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} (\xi + 2\sqrt{2})^{p/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} = C'_{13} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)},$$

where $C'_{13} = C_3^{p/(p+2)} (\xi + 2\sqrt{2})^{p/(p+2)}$.

(ii). Let $q = 0$.

Now repeating the arguments of (i) and using the fact $\Phi(\tilde{\beta}_\delta) = \xi \delta^\nu$, we obtain

$$\|Tg - Tu_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)\| \leq (\xi + 2\sqrt{2}) \delta^\nu.$$

Also, it follows that $g - u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}(0) \in H_p$ and $\|g - u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}(0)\|_{H_p} \leq \varrho$. Now, the proof follows by invoking the estimate in Theorem 3.10. $\square$

Now we are in a position to state the main result of this section, whose proof follows by combining the results in Theorems 4.9 and 4.10, respectively.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\delta, \nu, u_{\beta_\delta}$ and $u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}$ be as in Lemma 4.8. Let g be the solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho, p}$, for some $\varrho, p > 0$ then the following holds:*

(i) For $q \neq 0$, $0 < p < q$, we have

$$\|g - u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)\| \leq C'_{13} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} + C_{14} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

(ii) For $q \neq 0$, $p \geq q$, we have

$$\|g - u_{\beta_\delta}^\delta(0)\| \leq C'_{13} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} + C_{15} \varrho^{2/(q+2)} \delta^{q/(q+2)}.$$

(iii) For $q = 0$, we have

$$\|g - u_{\tilde{\beta}_\delta}^\delta(0)\| \leq C'_{13} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p\nu/(p+2)} + C_{16} \varrho \delta^{1-\nu}.$$

5. FOURIER TRUNCATION METHOD

In this section we obtain regularized approximations by truncating the Fourier expansion of appropriate L^2 functions. The level at which the series expansion is truncated plays the role of regularization parameter. We shall provide both the apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies and derive the corresponding convergence rates of the approximation. We shall see that the rates obtained by this method are similar for both the apriori and aposteriori parameter choice. Moreover, we shall see that the rates obtained by this method does not possess the saturation effect which is in contrast to the rates obtained by quasi-boundary value method and its modifications, as obtained in the previous section.

Throughout this section we assume the following: Let $\delta > 0, h, h^\delta, f, f^\delta$ be as in Theorem 4.3, that is, $h, h^\delta \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f, f^\delta \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \|h - h^\delta\|^2 + \theta \|f - f^\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \delta^2,$$

where $\theta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^4}$.

Let $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}, \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}$ be as defined in (3.4) and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of (3.9). Recall that solving (3.9) is an ill-posed problem with respect to the perturbations in the data h and f . Thus, we aim to obtain stable approximations for the sought initial value g by the Fourier truncation method. Towards this, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} g^N &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n, \\ g^{\delta,N} &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n. \end{cases}$$

Here the natural number N plays the role of regularization parameter. We shall choose N in terms of δ so that under certain source condition we can derive the error estimates in terms of the noise δ . First, we obtain an estimate for $\|g - g^N\|$. For $p, \varrho > 0$, recall the source set $S_{\varrho,p}$ as defined in (3.11).

Theorem 5.1. *Let g be the solution of (3.9) and for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let g^N be as defined in (5.2). If $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$ for some $\varrho, p > 0$ then we have*

$$\|g - g^N\| \leq \frac{\varrho}{\lambda_{N+1}^p}.$$

Proof. Since g is a solution of (3.9), recall from (3.5) that, we have $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \varphi_n$, where $g_n = \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}$. Thus, from the definition of g^N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g^N\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2p}} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{N+1}^{2p}} \|g\|_{H_p}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varrho^2}{\lambda_{N+1}^{2p}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|g - g^N\| \leq \frac{\varrho}{\lambda_{N+1}^p}.$$

□

We now obtain bound for $\|g^N - g^{\delta,N}\|$.

Theorem 5.2. *For $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let g^N and $g^{\delta,N}$ be as defined in (5.2) with h, h^δ, f, f^δ satisfying the noise level as considered in (5.1). Then there exists a constant $C_{18} > 0$, depending on $\alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, C_1$, such that*

$$\|g^N - g^{\delta,N}\| \leq C_{18} \delta \lambda_N^2.$$

Proof. First we observe that from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \leq \tilde{C}_{18} \lambda_n^2,$$

where $\tilde{C}_{18} = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\tau^\alpha \lambda_1^2)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2}$. Now from the definition of g^N and $g^{\delta,N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^N - g^{\delta,N}\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \varphi_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{18}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n^4 (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n})^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{18}^2 \lambda_N^4 \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n})^2 \\ &\leq 2\tilde{C}_{18}^2 \lambda_N^4 \delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{18} = \sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_{18}$. Therefore,

$$\|g^N - g^{\delta,N}\| \leq C_{18} \delta \lambda_N^2.$$

□

Remark 5.3. Observe that Theorem 5.2 shows that g^N 's are stable with respect to the perturbations in the data h and f . ◇

We are now in a position to state and prove one of the main result of this section, that is, the apriori parameter choice strategy and the corresponding error estimates. From now onwards we shall use the notation $[[r]]$ to denote the greatest integer not exceeding r , where $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.4. Let e_1 and e_2 be as in (2.2). For $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h, h^\delta, f, f^\delta, g^{\delta,N}$ be as in Theorem 5.2. For $\varrho, p > 0$, let g be as in Theorem 5.1. Let C_{18} be as in Theorem 5.2. Then for the choice

$$N_\delta = \left[\left[\left(\frac{1}{C_{18} e_1^p e_2^2} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{d/(2p+4)} \right] \right],$$

there exists a constant $C_{19} > 0$, depending on $\alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, C_1, e_1, e_2$, such that

$$\|g - g^{\delta, N_\delta}\| \leq C_{19} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

Proof. Combining the estimates obtained in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g^{\delta, N}\| &\leq \frac{\varrho}{\lambda_{N+1}^p} + C_{18} \delta \lambda_N^2 \leq \frac{\varrho}{\lambda_N^p} + C_{18} \delta \lambda_N^2 \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{\leq} \frac{\varrho}{e_1^p N^{2p/d}} + C_{18} \delta e_2^2 N^{4/d}. \end{aligned}$$

For $r > 0$, let

$$S(r) = \frac{\varrho}{e_1^p r^{2p/d}} + C_{18} e_2^2 \delta r^{4/d}.$$

Then it is easy to verify that $S(r)$ attains its minimum at r_δ satisfying

$$\frac{\varrho}{e_1^p r_\delta^{2p/d}} = C_{18} e_2^2 \delta r_\delta^{4/d}.$$

That is, we have,

$$r_\delta = \left(\frac{1}{C_{18} e_1^p e_2^2} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{d/(2p+4)}.$$

We choose the regularization parameter $N_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ as $N_\delta = [[r_\delta]]$, that is,

$$N_\delta = \left[\left[\left(\frac{1}{C_{18} e_1^p e_2^2} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{d/(2p+4)} \right] \right].$$

Then with this choice of N_δ , we have,

$$\|g - g^{\delta,N}\| \leq C_{18} e_2^2 \delta \left(\frac{1}{C_{18} e_1^p e_2^2} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{2/(p+2)} = C_{19} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)},$$

where $C_{19} = \left(\frac{C_{18} e_2^2}{e_1^2} \right)^{p/(p+2)}$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

In Theorem 5.4 we have provided a parameter choice strategy and obtained the corresponding convergence rate. However, we observe that the choice of the regularization parameter N_δ depends on p , which is related to the smoothness of the unknown g . Therefore, the parameter choice strategy will work only if we know apriori the smoothness of g . But, in practice, it is almost always impossible to know the smoothness apriori. Thus, to overcome this situation, we provide the aposteriori parameter choice that only requires knowledge of the noise δ and the observed data h^δ, f^δ .

5.1. Error estimates with aposteriori parameter choice. Recall that from Proposition 3.4, it follows that for $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, \tau; L^2(\Omega))$, we have that $\Upsilon_{h,f} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n \in L^2(\Omega)$, where $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}$ is as defined in (3.4). For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$\Upsilon_{h,f}^N = \sum_{n=1}^N \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^N = \sum_{n=1}^N \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n.$$

We define

$$\zeta(N) = \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^N\|, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then it is easily seen that $\zeta(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\mu > \sqrt{2}$. Then, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^N\| \leq \mu \delta < \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{N-1}\|.$$

Let N_δ be the first natural number that satisfies (5.3). This N_δ will be the regularization parameter that we are looking for. Note that, clearly the choice of such N_δ depends only on the known quantities, $\delta, h^\delta, f^\delta$.

We now obtain a bound for N_δ in terms of δ .

LEMMA 5.5. *Let e_1 be as in (2.2) and h, f be the exact data. For $\delta > 0$, let the noisy data h^δ and f^δ be such that it satisfies (5.1). Let $\mu > \sqrt{2}$ be fixed, N_δ be the smallest natural number satisfying (5.3) and C_2 be as in Theorem 2.1. Let g be the solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$ for some $p, \varrho > 0$, then there exists a constant $C_{20} > 0$, depending on α, τ, C_2 , such that*

$$N_\delta \leq \left(\frac{C_{20}}{(\mu - \sqrt{2}) e_1^{(p+2)}} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{d/(2p+4)}.$$

Proof. First we note that

$$\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1} = \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n = \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha) g_n \varphi_n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1}\|^2 &= \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)|^2 g_n^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Theorem 2.1}}{\leq} \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty \frac{C_2^2}{(\Gamma(1-\alpha))^2 \tau^{2\alpha} \lambda_n^4} g_n^2 = C_{20}^2 \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty \frac{g_n^2}{\lambda_n^4} \\ &= C_{20}^2 \sum_{n=N_\delta}^\infty \frac{\lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2}{\lambda_n^{2p+4}} \leq C_{20}^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_{N_\delta}^{2p+4}} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \\ &\leq C_{20}^2 \frac{\varrho^2}{\lambda_{N_\delta}^{2p+4}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{20} = \frac{C_2}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\tau^\alpha}$. Thus,

$$\|\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1}\| \leq C_{20} \frac{\varrho}{\lambda_{N_\delta}^{p+2}} \leq \frac{C_{20}}{e_1^{p+2}} \frac{\varrho}{N_\delta^{(2p+4)/d}}.$$

Now, observe that

$$\|(\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}) - (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{N_\delta-1})\|^2 = \sum_{n=N_\delta}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{\alpha,n}|^2 \leq 2\delta^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1}\| &= \|(\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}) - (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{N_\delta-1}) + (\Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{N_\delta-1})\| \\ &\geq \|\Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{N_\delta-1}\| - \|(\Upsilon_{h,f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}) - (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{N_\delta-1} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta,f^\delta}^{N_\delta-1})\| \\ &\geq (\mu - \sqrt{2})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\mu - \sqrt{2})\delta \leq \frac{C_{20}}{e_1^{p+2}} \frac{\varrho}{N_\delta^{(2p+4)/d}},$$

and hence

$$N_\delta \leq \left(\frac{C_{20}}{(\mu - \sqrt{2})e_1^{(p+2)}} \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{d/(2p+4)}.$$

□

We now obtain estimate for $\|g - g^{N_\delta}\|$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let g be the solution of (3.9) and $g \in S_{\varrho,p}$ for some $\varrho, p > 0$. Let μ, δ, N_δ be as in Lemma 5.5 and g^{N_δ} be as defined in (5.2). Let C_1 be as in Theorem 2.1. Then there exists a constant $C_{21} > 0$, depending on $\alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, C_1, p, \mu$, such that*

$$\|g - g^{N_\delta}\| \leq C_{21}\varrho^{1/(p+1)}\delta^{p/(p+1)}.$$

Proof. Since g is a solution of (3.9), recall that $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \varphi_n$, where g_n is as given in (3.5), that is, $g_n = \frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)}$. Thus, from the definition of g^{N_δ} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g^{N_\delta}\|^2 &= \sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}}{E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^{4/(p+2)}}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha))^2} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^{2p/(p+2)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha))^{p+2}} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_n^2}{(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha))^p} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)} \\ &\leq \left(\tilde{C}_{18}^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2p} g_n^2 \right)^{2/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_{18}^{2p/(p+2)} \varrho^{4/(p+2)} \left(\sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} (\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n})^2 \right)^{p/(p+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{18} = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)(1+\tau^\alpha \lambda_1^2)}{C_1 \lambda_1^2}$.

Now, recall that

$$\|\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{N_\delta}\| \leq \mu\delta$$

and

$$\|(\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}) - (\Upsilon_{h, f}^{N_\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{N_\delta})\|^2 = \sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} |\Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{\alpha, n}|^2 \leq 2\delta^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N_\delta+1}^{\infty} (\Upsilon_{h, f}^{\alpha, n})^2 &= \|\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h, f}^{N_\delta}\|^2 \\ &= \|(\Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{N_\delta}) + (\Upsilon_{h, f} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}) - (\Upsilon_{h, f}^{N_\delta} - \Upsilon_{h^\delta, f^\delta}^{N_\delta})\|^2 \\ &\leq (\mu + \sqrt{2})^2 \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|g - g^{N_\delta}\|^2 \leq \tilde{C}_{18}^{2p/(p+2)} \varrho^{4/(p+2)} (\mu + \sqrt{2})^{2p/(p+2)} \delta^{2p/(p+2)},$$

that is,

$$\|g - g^{N_\delta}\| \leq C_{21} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)},$$

where $C_{21} = \tilde{C}_{18}^{p/(p+2)} (\mu + \sqrt{2})^{p/(p+2)}$. $\square$

We are now in a position to state and prove the main result of this section, in which we obtain the rates of convergence corresponding to the aposteriori choice of the parameter N_δ .

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mu > \sqrt{2}$, $\delta > 0$, and N_δ be as in Lemma 5.5. Let g^{δ, N_δ} be as in (5.2) and e_1, e_2 be as in (2.2). Let g be the solution of (3.9). If $g \in S_{\varrho, p}$, for some $\varrho, p > 0$, then there exists a constant $C_{23} > 0$, depending on $\mu, \alpha, \tau, \lambda_1, e_1, e_2, p$, such that*

$$\|g - g^{\delta, N_\delta}\| \leq C_{23} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}.$$

Proof. From the estimate obtained in Theorem 5.2, we have

$$\|g^{N_\delta} - g^{\delta, N_\delta}\| \leq C_{18} \delta \lambda_{N_\delta}^2 \leq C_{22} \delta N_\delta^{4/d},$$

where $C_{22} = e_2^2 C_{18}$ and e_2 is as in (2.2).

Thus, from Theorem 5.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - g^{\delta, N_\delta}\| &\leq \|g - g^{N_\delta}\| + \|g^{N_\delta} - g^{\delta, N_\delta}\| \\ &\leq C_{21} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} + C_{22} \delta N_\delta^{4/d} \\ &\leq C_{21} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} + C_{22} \delta \left(\frac{C_{20}}{(\mu - \sqrt{2}) e_1^{p+2}} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \left(\frac{\varrho}{\delta} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \\ &= C_{21} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} + C_{22} \left(\frac{C_{20}}{(\mu - \sqrt{2}) e_1^{p+2}} \right)^{2/(p+2)} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)} \\ &= C_{23} \varrho^{2/(p+2)} \delta^{p/(p+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{23} = \max \{C_{21}, C_{22} \left(\frac{C_{20}}{(\mu - \sqrt{2}) e_1^{p+2}} \right)^{2/(p+2)}\}$. $\square$

Remark 5.8. Clearly the rates obtained in Theorems 5.4 and 5.7, for the apriori and aposteriori case, respectively, are of the same order, that is, $O(\delta^{p/(p+2)})$ for all $p > 0$. Since $\delta^{p/(p+2)} \rightarrow \delta$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that the rates for both apriori and aposteriori, obtained by the Fourier truncation method does not possess the saturation effect. $\diamond$

Remark 5.9. (Optimality) In Section 2, we have already observed that the inverse problem of retrieving the initial value $g = u(\cdot, 0)$ from the knowledge of the final value $h = u(\cdot, \tau)$ and the source function f is same as solving the operator equation

$$Tg = \Upsilon_{h, f},$$

where $\Upsilon_{h,f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n} \varphi_n$, $\Upsilon_{h,f}^{\alpha,n}$ is as defined in (3.4), and the compact self-adjoint operator $T : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$T\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_n \langle \phi, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n, \quad \phi \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where $\kappa_n = E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n^2 \tau^\alpha)$.

From Theorem 2.1, we have $\kappa_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_1}{\lambda_n^2} \leq \kappa_n \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_2}{\lambda_n^2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\tilde{C}_1 = \frac{C_1 \lambda_1^2}{(1+\lambda_1^2 \tau^\alpha) \Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ and $\tilde{C}_2 = \frac{C_2}{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)}$. This shows that, T is also a self-adjoint positive operator. Now repeating the arguments in [17, Section 5], we can arrive at the conclusion that for the source set $S_{\varrho,p}$ and the operator T as considered above, the convergence rate $O(\delta^{p/(p+2)})$ is order optimal.

Thus, from Theorems 5.4 and 5.7, it follows that for the source set $S_{\varrho,p}$, the convergence rates obtained by FTM are order optimal for both the apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies for all $p > 0$. Also, from Theorems 4.6 and 4.11, we observe that for MQBVM: if $0 < p \leq q + 2$ then the rate obtained for the apriori case is order optimal, whereas if $0 < p \leq q$ then the rate obtained for the aposteriori case is order optimal. $\diamond$

6. CONCLUSION

We have initiated a study on the backward problem for a time-fractional fourth order parabolic PDE. Since the considered problem is ill-posed, we have obtained stable approximations by employing quasi-boundary value method, its modifications and the Fourier truncation method. Under some Sobolev smoothness assumption on the sought initial value, we have obtained convergence rates for all these methods under both apriori and aposteriori parameter choice strategies. We observed that for certain cases all the considered methods produces same convergence rates in both the apriori and aposteriori cases. However, we observed that the rates obtained by QBVM and MQBVM possesses the saturation effect but the rates obtained by FTM is free of such effect. Moreover, we observed that the rates obtained by FTM is order optimal for all values of the smoothness index p .

Acknowledgements. The author is supported by the postdoctoral fellowship of the TIFR Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Bangalore.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Cao, *Determination of the space-dependent source term in a fourth-order parabolic problem*. Appl. Math. Optim. 86 (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 24, 40 pp. [1](#)
- [2] K. Cao, D. Lesnic and M.I. Ismailov, *Determination of the time-dependent thermal grooving coefficient*. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 65 (2021), no. 1-2, 199–221. [1](#)
- [3] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*. Vol. I. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1953. xv+561 pp. [3](#)
- [4] H.W. Engl, M. Hanke, A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1996). [2](#), [3](#)
- [5] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*. Second edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. xxii+749 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4974-3 35-01. [3](#)
- [6] D.J. Gomain, *Free Vibrations Analysis of Beams and Shafts*. Wiley, NewYork, 1975. [1](#)
- [7] M.A. Hamed and A.A. Nepomnyashchy, *Groove growth by surface subdiffusion*. Phys. D. 298/299 (2015), 42–47. [2](#)
- [8] D.N. Háo, N.V. Duc and D. Lesnic, *Regularization of parabolic equations backward in time by a non-local boundary value problem method*. IMA J. Appl. Math. 75 (2010), no. 2, 291–315. [2](#)
- [9] D.N. Háo, J. Liu, N.V. Duc and N.V. Thang, *Stability results for backward time-fractional parabolic equations*. Inverse Problems 35 (2019), no. 12, 125006, 25 pp. [2](#)
- [10] J. Hristov, *Fourth-order fractional diffusion model of thermal grooving: integral approach to approximate closed form solution of the Mullins model*. Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 13 (14) (2018). [2](#)
- [11] M.J. Huntul, I. Tekin, M.K. Iqbal and M. Abbas *An inverse problem of recovering the heat source coefficient in a fourth-order time-fractional pseudo-parabolic equation*. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 442 (2024), Paper No. 115712, 22 pp. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)
- [12] B.B. King, O. Stein and M. Winkler, *A fourth-order parabolic equation modeling epitaxial thin film growth*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 286 (2003), no. 2, 459–490. [1](#)

- [13] J. Kokila and M.T. Nair, *Fourier truncation method for the non-homogeneous time fractional backward heat conduction problem*. Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 28(2020), no.3, 402–426. [2](#)
- [14] J. Liu and M. Yamamoto, *A backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation*. Appl. Anal. 89 (2010), no. 11, 1769–1788. [2](#), [4](#)
- [15] S. Mondal, *On backward fractional pseudo parabolic equation: regularization by quasi-boundary value method, convergence rates*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 134 (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 5, 20 pp. [2](#)
- [16] S. Mondal, *A final value problem with a non-local and a source term: regularization by truncation*. Accepted in: J. Optim. Theory. Appl. (2024), 21 pp. [2](#)
- [17] S. Mondal and M.T. Nair, *A source identification problem in a bi-parabolic equation: convergence rates and some optimal results*. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 45 (2024), no. 3, 189–215. [21](#)
- [18] W.W. Mullins, *Theory of thermal grooving*. J. Appl. Phys. 28 (1957), no. 3, 333–339. [1](#)
- [19] M.T. Nair, *Linear Operator Equations: Approximation and Regularization*. World Scientific, Hackensack, (2009). [2](#), [3](#)
- [20] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 198. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999. xxiv+340 pp. [2](#), [4](#)
- [21] K. Sakamoto and M. Yamamoto, *Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011), no. 1, 426–447. [4](#)
- [22] E. Sock, *On the asymptotic order of accuracy of Tikhonov regularization*. J. Optim. Theory Appl., **44**(1), 95–104 (1984). [3](#)
- [23] N.H. Tuan, D.I. Long, V.T. Nguyen and T. Tran, *On a final value problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation with inhomogeneous source*. Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng. 25 (2017), no. 9, 1367–1395. [2](#)
- [24] T. Wei and J.G. Wang, *A modified quasi-boundary value method for the backward time-fractional diffusion problem*. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 48 (2014), no. 2, 603–621. [2](#), [3](#)
- [25] Y. You and M. Kaveh, *Fourth-order partial differential equations for noise removal*. IEEE Trans. Image Process. 9(2000), no. 10, 1723–1730.

[1](#)

(SM): TIFR CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BANGALORE-560065, INDIA;

Email address: subhankar22@tifrbng.res.in;